Commentary

Mammary cancer and epithelial stem cells: a problem or a solution?

Gilbert H Smith

National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

Correspondence: Gilbert H Smith, Basic Research Laboratory, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA. Tel: +1 301 496 2385; fax: +1 301 402 0711; e-mail: gs4d@nih.gov

© 2002 BioMed Central Ltd

Received: 8 November 2001 Breast Cancer Res 2002, 4:47-50

Revisions requested: 28 November 2001 Revisions received: 3 December 2001

Accepted: 17 December 2001

Published: 16 January 2002 (Print ISSN 1465-5411; Online ISSN 1465-542X)

Abstract

The existing paradigms for stem cells in adult tissues include the integument, the alimentary canal, the lung, the liver, skeletal muscle and bone marrow. The mammary gland, by contrast, is the 'new kid on the block'. What little is known about stem cells in the mammary gland indicates that they possess a prodigious capacity for self-renewal. More importantly, in rodents, they persist with undiminished reproductive vigor throughout the organism's lifetime without regard to age or reproductive history. Do these stem cells represent primary targets for mammary neoplasia? If so, what are the implications for prevention/therapy?

Keywords: aging, mammary, neoplasia, stem cell, transplantation

Introduction

Evidence for mammary epithelial stem cells derives from studies of the glands from rodents, canines and humans. In the human, genetic analysis [1] of contiguous portions of individual human mammary ducts within the same breast signifies their clonal derivation and forecasts the existence of multipotent mammary epithelial cells in the human breast [2–4]. This work was confirmed and extended by the demonstration of a common loss of heterozygosity in normal cells in individual lobules within breast tissue from patients with carcinoma, in duct-lobular units from paraffin-embedded mammary tissue, and from normal luminal and myoepithelial cell clones derived from reduction mammoplasty patients [5–7].

Cell lines from canine mammary spindle-cell tumors exhibited mixed phenotypes on transplantation but possessed identical allelic patterns in microsatellite analysis, suggesting that canine mammary tumors arise from pluripotent stem cells [8].

Rat mammary glands contain a subpopulation of clonogenic epithelial cells that, when transplanted, give rise either to alveolar or ductal structures [9]. In quantitative rat mammary cell transplantation studies, both alveolar and ductal colonies were developed that support the conclusion that both colony types are derived from a single clonogenic mammary cell [10,11].

In the mouse, it was recently shown that the epithelial population of a fully developed lactating mammary outgrowth in mice could consist of the progeny from a single cell [12]. Serial transplantation of epithelial fragments from the clonally derived gland demonstrated that the subsequently generated outgrowths are also comprised of progeny from the original antecedent. All epithelial cell types were found to be present within these clonal normal populations including luminal, myoepithelial, ductal and lobule-committed epithelial progenitors and fully competent mammary epithelial stem cells. These observations demonstrate the presence of multipotent

tissue-specific epithelial stem cells among the parenchyma of the mouse mammary gland.

The prevailing view regarding stem cells is that they are cells with the capacity for prolonged, if not unlimited, selfrenewal and that they can produce at least one type of fully differentiated descendant. Between the stem cell and its differentiated progeny, there may often be an intermediate population of committed progenitors with a restricted differentiation potential and a limited capacity for selfrenewal. It has become increasingly clear that stem cells do not exist in organs as independent units; rather, their behavior and maintenance is dependent on signals emanating from neighboring somatic cells [13,14]. In mammalian epidermis, Notch/Delta signaling [15] and c-myc activation [16] control important aspects of epidermal stem cell behavior. Likewise, in the mammary gland, activation of Notch brings about severe limitation of stem cell function by preventing the development of the secretory epithelial cell lineage [17].

An important key to understanding stem cell activity and persistence in adult organs is the determination of the physical microenvironment and molecular milieu within which the stem cell resides (stem cell niche), and the determination of the conditions that allow for stem cell expansion or directed differentiation of stem cell progeny.

Stem cell aging in clonal mammary populations

In the author's laboratory, clonal mammary populations derived from outgrowths of individual mammary fragments from old, multiparous donors were created and carried through six transplant generations to growth senescence. The purpose of the experiment was to establish the 'repertoire' of the original stem cell antecedent by evaluating its clonogenic (stem cell) progeny through successive transplantation generations toward reproductive senescence.

A calculation of the total number of new stem cells required during repopulation of each individual outgrowth indicates that 10¹²–10¹³ multipotent progenitors will be generated anew from the original antecedent before growth senescence is reached [12]. This number is reached because all parts of each newly generated mammary gland are capable of recapitulating glandular regeneration on subsequent transplantation (reviewed in [18]).

Using this approach, several new characteristics of mammary epithelial stem cells have been discovered. For example, local stem cells within individual outgrowths display different patterns of growth senescence when propagated in impregnated hosts. In this scenario, a fully competent implant produces a gland with full secretory lobule development filling the fat pad. Senescing outgrowths may fail to produce full secretory lobular develop-

ment but generate a complete system of branching ducts or, alternatively, may yield only secretory lobule development in the absence of ductal branching morphogenesis. This illustrates that, during growth senescence, multipotent stem cells independently lose their capacity to originate the progeny necessary for lobular and ductal morphogenesis. Consequently, the property of producing progeny committed to either ductal or lobular morphogenesis is not only intrinsic to the mammary stem cell, but is also subject to independent regulatory control (Smith GH, Boulanger C, Strickland P, Daniel C, manuscript submitted). Growth senescence of these cells may be reached only after serial transplantation through multiple generations [19–21].

A prediction ensues that, in growth senescent populations, there will be an absence of stem cells. Previously, epithelial cells with a unique ultrastructural morphology were described among the mammary epithelium of rats and mice [22,23], and were shown to be proliferation competent. These distinctive cells are omnipresent at all stages of mammary development and differentiation. It was proposed that these ultrastructurally distinct epithelial cells represent mammary stem cells. Careful examination of fully senescent mammary epithelial populations reveals the absence of these ultrastructurally distinct cells, supporting the conclusion that they are indeed mammary epithelial stem cells (Smith GH, Boulanger C, Strickland P, Daniel C, manuscript submitted).

Mammary stem cell control of ductal patterning

Our observations in the mouse mammary gland suggest that multipotent progenitors can strictly limit the behavior of their progeny within a given environment and instruct, quite specifically, the extent to which these daughters can react to the local surroundings. This capability is demonstrated in experiments where it is shown that progenitors from the same clonal population can produce, within the same host, vastly different mammary ductal structures. Subsequent transplantation indicates that the multipotent progeny found in these disparate mammary ductal populations retains the specific capacity to reproduce their specific ductal pattern. Local mammary stem cells therefore accommodate the capacity not only to control the specific patterns of the branching ducts they produce, but also to control the ability to convey this dominion to their stem cell progeny (Smith GH, Boulanger C, Strickland P, Daniel C, manuscript submitted).

Premalignant populations from regional mammary stem cells

The mammary stem cells (clonogens) produce the cellular and tissue diversity of the gland. As already discussed, much of this configuration may be cell autonomous (i.e. programmed by its progenitor, the stem cell). This concept

becomes more fundamental when applied to the appearance of mammary hyperplasia and other parenchymal irregularities. The local tissue derangement is often demonstrably monoclonal in humans and rodents [5-7,24]. The present author hypothesizes that these cellular lesions exhibit cell autonomous characteristics conferred on them by the transformed local stem cell. In support of this speculation, local regions of serially transplanted epithelial clones occasionally manifested focal regions of hyperplastic lobular development. These lesions proved to be clonal in origin and repeatedly produced hyperplastic lobular mammary outgrowths on transplantation. One normal fragment, a fourth-generation transplant, generated an aggressive mammary neoplasm in situ. This growth was also composed of cells derived from the original clonogen and spawned several metastases to the lung of the tumor host. The lung lesions proved, as well, to be composed of cells descended from the primary founder. It therefore appears that premalignant and malignant clones may constitute a lineage potential of aging mammary epithelial stem cells (Smith GH, Boulanger C, Strickland P, Daniel C, manuscript submitted).

Proliferative capacity of an individual mammary stem cell

The capacity of a single multipotent mammary antecedent to reproduce mammary gland growth through multiple transplant generations is unprecedented and represents direct evidence of the prodigious reproductive potential of a single mammary stem cell in the gland of an aged multiparous mouse. The presence of such cells in the mammary gland must represent an enormous risk to subsequent mammary cancer development. A means to reduce mammary cancer risk may thus be to limit the reproductive capability of individual stem cells in situ. Boulanger and Smith demonstrated that stem cell selfrenewal is curtailed in mice expressing transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF-β1) from the whey acidic protein (WAP) promoter in the differentiating progeny of the mammary stem cell, resulting in early growth senescence [25]. As a consequence, the subsequent risk for mammary tumorigenesis in these glands is startlingly reduced, as demonstrated by challenging TGF-β1 transgenic and wild-type sisters with mouse mammary tumor virus and tabulating the total mammary tumor incidence over 80 weeks. This observation provides a 'proof of principle' that stem cell proliferative power (self-renewal) and tumor risk are inversely related.

A new study [26] utilizing WAP-driven Cre and Rosa 26-flstop-fl-LacZ mammary glands shows great promise for further clarification of a possible stem cell niche in the mammary gland. Cells whose reporter gene has been activated by expression of WAP-Cre appear in late pregnancy. A portion of this parity-induced epithelium survives postlactation involution (~5–10% in primiparous females) and accumulates on successive pregnancies, representing >60% of the total epithelium in multiparous females.

A similar accumulation does not occur in nulliparous females, although activated cells appear transiently during the estrus cycle. The new parity-induced population contributes extensively to secretory epithelial development on successive pregnancies. Transplantation of fragments or dispersed cellular populations containing the activated cells indicates that they may contribute extensively to the resulting outgrowth in which they appear at regular intervals throughout the branching ducts [26]. An intriguing possibility is that these sites represent newly formed stem cell niches. The LacZ reporter gene enables enrichment of these cells by fluorescence-activated cell sorting, opening the possibility of a more detailed examination of their biochemistry and molecular biology. In addition, the behavior of the parity-induced epithelium in WAP-TGF-β1 mice may enlighten further the relationship between WAP-expressing somatic cells and the behavior of mammary stem cells.

Conclusions

The presented data and those of other workers indicate that proliferative capacity resides in a small number of cells (clonogens) among the mammary epithelium. The evidence also supports the conclusion that transiently amplifying, lineage-limited cells are produced from omnipotent epithelial stem cells. Of interest is the observation that genes expressed in the differentiating somatic cells may modulate the proliferative lifespan of mammary stem cells. The discovery of a new proliferation-competent epithelium, tagged by WAP expression in the mammary glands of parous females, creates an experimental model for examining the effects of somatic cell signaling on stem cell behavior. Modulation of stem cell behavior holds exceptional promise for a new prophylactic approach for controlling mammary cancer risk. An important step towards the achievement of this control will be the characterization of the stem cell niche in the rodent mammary gland and, ultimately, in humans.

References

- Tsai YC, Lu Y, Nichols PW, Zlotnikov G, Jones PA, Smith H: Contiguous patches of normal human epithelium derived from a single stem cell: Implications for breast carcinogenesis. Cancer Res 1996, 56:402-404.
- Stingl J, Eaves CJ, Kuusk U, Emerman JT: Phenotypic and functional characterization in vitro of a multipotent epithelial cell present in the normal adult human breast. Differentiation 1998, 63:201-213.
- Pechoux C, Gudjonsson T, Ronnov-Jessen L, Bissell MJ, Petersen OW: Human mammary luminal epithelial cells contain progenitors to myoepithelial cells. Dev Biol 1999, 206:88-99.
- Stingl J, Eaves CJ, Zandich I, Emerman JT: Characterization of bipotent mammary epithelial progenitor cells in normal adult human breast tissue. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2001, 67:93-109.
- Deng G, Lu Y, Zlotnikov G, Thor AD, Smith HS: Loss of heterozygosity in normal tissue adjacent to breast carcinomas. Science 1996, 274:2057-2059.
- Lakhani SR, Ślack DN, Hamoudi RA, Collins N, Stratton MR, Sloane JP: Detection of allelic imbalance indicates that a pro-

- portion of mammary hyperplasia of usual type are clonal, neoplastic proliferations. *Lab Invest* 1996, **74**:129-135.
- Lakhani SR, Chaggar R, Davies S, Jones C, Collins N, Odel C, Stratton MR, O'Hare MJ: Genetic alterations in 'normal' luminal and myoepithelial cells of the breast. J Pathol 1999, 189:496-503.
- Hellmen E, Moller M, Blankenstein MA, Andersson L, Westermark B: Expression of different phenotypes in cell lines from canine mammary spindle-cell tumours and osteosarcomas indicating a pluripotent mammary stem cell origin. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2000, 61:197-210.
- Kamiya K, Gould MN, Clifton KH: Quantitative studies of ductal versus alveolar differentiation from rat mammary clonogens. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1998, 219:217-225.
- Kamiya K, Higgins PD, Tanner MA, Gould MN, Clifton KH: Kinetics of mammary clonogenic cells and rat mammary cancer induction by X-rays or fission neutrons. J Radiat Res (Tokyo) 1999, 40(suppl):128-137.
- Kim ND, Oberley TD, Yasukawa-Barnes J, Clifton KH: Stem cell characteristics of transplanted rat mammary clonogens. Exp Cell Res 2000, 260:146-159.
- Kordon EC, Smith GH: An entire functional mammary gland may comprise the progeny from a single cell. Development 1998, 125:1921-1930.
- Tran J, Brenner TJ, DiNardo S: Somatic control over the germline stem cell lineage during Drosophila spermatogenesis. Nature 2000, 407:754-757.
- Xie T, Spradling AC: A niche maintaining germ line stem cells in the Drosophila ovary. Science 2000, 290:328-330.
- Lowell S, Jones P, Le Roux I, Dunne J, Watt FM: Stimulation of human epidermal differentiation by delta-notch signalling at the boundaries of stem-cell clusters. Curr Biol 2000, 10:491-500.
- Arnold I, Watt FM: c-Myc activation in transgenic mouse epidermis results in mobilization of stem cells and differentiation of their progeny. Curr Biol 2001, 11:558-568.
- Smith GH, Gallahan D, Diella F, Jhappan C, Merlino G, Callahan R: Constitutive expression of a truncated INT3 gene in mouse mammary epithelium impairs differentiation and functional development. Cell Growth Diff 1995, 6:563-577.
- 18. Smith GH, Chepko G: Mammary epithelial stem cells. *Microsc Res Tech* 2001, **52**:190-203.
- Daniel CW, Young LJ: Influence of cell division on an aging process. Life span of mouse mammary epithelium during serial propagation in vivo. Exp Cell Res 1971, 65:27-32.
- Daniel C, DeOme K, Young L, Blair P, Faulkin L: The in vivo life span of normal and preneoplastic mouse mammary glands: a serial transplantation study. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1968, 61: 53-60.
- Young LJ, Medina D, DeOme KB, Daniel CW: The influence of host and tissue age on life span and growth rate of serially transplanted mouse mammary gland. Exp Gerontol 1971, 6: 49-56
- 22. Smith GH, Medina D: A morphologically distinct candidate for an epithelial stem cell in mouse mammary gland. *J Cell Sci* 1988, **90**:173-183.
- Chepko G, Smith GH: Three division-competent, structurallydistinct cell populations contribute to murine mammary epithelial renewal. *Tissue Cell* 1997, 29:239-253.
- Rosenberg CL, Larson PS, Romo JD, De Las Morenas A, Faller DV: Microsatellite alterations indicating monoclonality in atypical hyperplasias associated with breast cancer. Hum Pathol 1997, 28:214-219.
- Boulanger CA, Smith GH: Reducing mammary cancer risk through premature stem cell senescence. Oncogene 2001, 20:2264-2272.
- Wagner K-U, Boulanger CA, Henry MD, Sgasias M, Hennighausen L, Smith GH: An adjunct mammary epithelial cell population in parous females: its role in functional adaptation and tissue renewal. Development 2002, in press.