

REVIEW

Triple-negative breast cancer

Reinaldo D Chacón* and María V Costanzo

Abstract

Perou's molecular classification defines tumors that neither express hormone receptors nor overexpress HER2 as triple-negative (TN) tumors. These tumors account for approximately 15% of breast cancers. The so-called basaloid tumors are not always synonymous with TN tumors; they differ in the fact that they express different molecular markers, have a higher histologic grade, and have a worse prognosis. Clinically they occur in younger women as interval cancer, and the risk of recurrence is higher within the first 3 years. Distant recurrences in the brain and visceral metastases are more common than in hormone receptor-positive tumors. Therapeutically, despite being highly chemosensitive, their progression-free time is generally short. In terms of chemotherapeutic treatment, anthracyclines and taxanes are useful drugs, and high response rates have been described for the combination of ixabepilone–capecitabine and platinums. The combination with antiangiogenic drugs has also proven useful. A group of new drugs, poly-(ADP-ribose)-polymerase inhibitors, showed favorable results in TN tumors with BRCA mutation. There are currently several ongoing studies with new drugs including epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors, c-kit inhibitors, Raf/Mek/Map kinase inhibitors and mTOR inhibitors.

Introduction

Breast cancer undoubtedly constitutes what is expected from a large proportion of the other neoplasms: a group of diseases characterized by different morphologies, biological behaviors, forms of presentation and clinical evolution. This suspicion, based on different responses to the same treatment, would gradually become clearer through findings such as hormone receptors (HRs) and, most recently, the HER family, along with the description

of metabolic chains and genetic variations (mutation, deletion or overexpression), all of which gave rise to specific targets whose optimal use is continually under study.

The introduction of HRs in clinical routine use not only showed the usefulness of endocrine therapy in HR-positive cases (60 to 80%) but also the special aggressiveness of HR-negative cases. Even today, estrogen receptors (ERs) are likely to be one of the most important prognostic and, naturally, predictive factors (their negativity calls for the use of chemotherapeutic agents, in contrast to hormone therapy use when they are positive). From a practical standpoint, the concept of negativity has been generalized as lack of expression of both ER and progesterone receptor. HR-negative tumors are accompanied by a high histologic grade. p53 is mutated in up to 82% of basal-like breast carcinomas [1] by gene expression analysis as well as protein expression analysis. This phenotype is also particularly associated with BRCA1 mutations [2].

The significance of HER2 amplification or overexpression was recognized in 1987 [3]; it characterizes about 20% of breast tumors and is usually seen in HR-negative tumors, with a higher percentage of recurrences and mortality rates [4]. The standard use of HER2 assessment (around 1999) led to the recognition of a subgroup with worse prognosis and, at the same time, to the development of specific molecules, of which trastuzumab was the first [5]. HER2 overexpression also identified tumors with estrogen-negative, progesterone-negative receptors and HER2-negative receptors. The tumors with estrogen-negative, progesterone negative and HER2-negative are known as triple-negative (TN) tumors and account for about 15% of breast tumors [6,7].

The molecular classification described by Perou and colleagues showed, through the gene expression profile, remarkable differences between HR-positive tumors and HR-negative tumors [8]. The former were classified as luminal tumors (luminal A or luminal B based on their higher or lower receptor expression), and the latter were divided into three subgroups: tumors with HER2 amplification; basaloid tumors, resembling normal basal or myoepithelial cells; and tumors with loss of HR, of HER2 amplification and of basaloid characteristics (which show molecular similarity with normal mammary stromal cells).

*Correspondence: rchacon@alexanderfleming.org
Oncology Department, Instituto Alexander Fleming, Cramer 1180, zip code 1426
ANZ, Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Basaloid and triple-negative tumors

Table 1 presents basaloid and TN tumor incidence rates taking into account HR and HER2 phenotypic expression and the basaloid variant from the molecular classification. A common assumption is that basaloid tumors and TN tumors are the same entity – based on the fact that the former are usually TN tumors, thus assuming that the TN phenotype includes basaloid tumors. Table 2 presents general characteristics of basaloid tumors [9,10].

In a recently published series, 10% of basaloid tumors were HER2-positive, 12% were ER-positive, 84% were histologic grade III, most tumors were >2 cm and 40% had positive axillary nodes [11]. On the other hand, there are many publications that show differences in the molecular profile of basaloid tumors and TN tumors [12-14]. Correct identification of each subgroup would explain the mixed treatment outcomes and will aid the search for specific targets. Finally, it is worth noting that TN tumors include different histological variants (for example, infiltrating ductal, medullary, squamous, apocrine). The association between TN tumors and BRCA1 [15,16] is presented in Table 3.

Triple-negative tumors, clinical expression and recurrence patterns

The general characteristics of TN tumors are presented in Table 4, some of which are unique clinical features. TN tumors often present as interval cancer [17] and, in turn, are detected more frequently through clinical examination than with a mammogram or an ultrasound [18], which is suggestive of rapid growth and tissue density similar to normal tissue. Even small-size tumors present a high incidence of lymph node involvement [11].

Follow-up of about 200 patients diagnosed with TN in Toronto between 1987 and 1997 showed a peak of recurrence rate much greater than that of nontriple-negative (nTN) tumors during the first and third years, as well as a higher 5-year mortality rate [18]. This was subsequently confirmed in patients treated with neo-adjuvant therapy at M.D. Anderson [19], who showed a higher 3-year relapse and mortality rates. Dent and colleagues found few cases in which local recurrence preceded distant metastases [18]; these, in turn, are more common in the viscera and soft tissues than in bone, while bone metastases are a common pattern in luminal tumors [20,21].

Basaloid tumors are characterized by lung and brain relapse, with the addition of the liver for TNs in general. Brain involvement is also more common in HER2-positive tumors, but in these cases – unlike TN tumors – the specific (anti-HER2) therapies available to control the other metastatic sites allow for longer survival [22]. The higher prevalence in young women [23] may be partially related to BRCA1-mutated basaloid tumors and,

Table 1. Breast cancer: basaloid and triple-negative tumor incidence rates

Tumor type	Incidence rate
Positive hormone receptors	50 to 80%
HER2-positive	20 to 25%
Triple negative	12 to 20%
Basaloid ^a	15%

Rates taking into account hormone receptor and HER2 phenotypic expression and the basaloid variant from the molecular classification. ^a39% occur in premenopausal African-American versus Caucasian women of any age.

Table 2. Breast cancer: general characteristics of basaloid tumors

Strong cytokeratin 5/6, cytokeratin 14, and cytokeratin 17 expression
Negative hormone receptor tumors, with low expression of HER2
May express epidermal growth factor receptors and c-kit receptors
High histologic grade and worse prognosis than nonbasaloid triple-negative tumors
BRCA1 mutation

Table 3. Breast cancer: association between triple-negative tumors and BRCA1

Association	Incidence
Tumors with BRCA1 mutation are triple-negative tumors	90%
BRCA1 tumors are basaloid tumors	80 to 90%
Triple-negative tumors are tumors with BRCA1 mutations	10%

Table 4. General characteristic of triple-negative breast cancers

Often present as interval cancer
Weak association between tumor size and lymph node involvement
High risk of early recurrence
Peak recurrence rate is seen between the first and third years after diagnosis
Metastases are rarely preceded by local recurrence
Local recurrence is not predictive of metastatic disease
More prevalent in young women
Stronger association with obesity
Higher prevalence of brain metastases
Most deaths occur in the first 5 years
Rapid progression from the onset of metastasis to death
Highly chemosensitive
Risk factor in tumors with negative axillary nodes
Specific target molecules have only been determined recently

apparently, to parity and age at first full-term pregnancy, as well as to breastfeeding time. All of the above has been more commonly observed in young African American women [24]. Obesity as an independent variable in TN tumors seems to be associated with worse prognosis [25].

About the outcomes seen with conservative surgery in TN tumors, observations from retrospective studies show small differences with nTN tumors [26]. The high chemosensitivity of these tumors as well as their poor prognosis, which will be described later, are striking. The 2010 National Clinical Cancer Network Guidelines [27] do not recommend adjuvant chemotherapy in TN tumors for T1aN0 tumors; adjuvant chemotherapy is considered for T1bN0 tumors and is suggested for T1cN0 tumors.

Current therapeutic options


Available therapies

Chemotherapy

One of the characteristics of TN tumors is their high chemosensitivity, but with a short time to progression and survival. The use of certain drugs in the metastatic setting led to the retrospective outcome analysis in the adjuvant and neoadjuvant settings, which was subsequently applied to metastatic disease (reverse burden of proof; Figure 1). The recent appearance of poly-(ADP-ribose)-polymerase (PARP) 1 leads back to the original model, but as first-line therapy since there is no standard chemotherapeutic treatment.

Review of TN tumor subgroups in adjuvant therapy studies, in the case of CALGB 9344 (patients with positive axillary nodes to compare the addition of paclitaxel to different anthracycline doses), shows significant benefits ($P = 0.002$) for this combination, although the benefits were independent of HER2 status [28]. For the same kind of combination – but instead comparing paclitaxel every 21 days versus paclitaxel once a week after four courses of adriamycin–paclitaxel every 3 weeks – Loesch and colleagues showed statistically significant results ($P = 0.037$) in 378 TN patients treated with paclitaxel once a week [29]. A previous Intergroup study (C9741) had found differences in favor of dose density with adriamycin and paclitaxel in patients with negative ERs, but not in ER-positive patients [30]; this highlights the importance of chemotherapy in hormone-independent tumors.

Several studies on neoadjuvant therapy show the importance of chemotherapy in TN tumors. Rouzier and colleagues assessed chemosensitivity in 82 patients based on the molecular classification using the anthracycline and taxane combination, and found a 45% rate of complete pathological remission (cPR) for HER2-positive and basaloid tumors, versus 6% for luminal tumors [31]. Similarly, but using the anthracycline and cyclophosphamide combination in 107 immunohistochemically defined patients, Carey and colleagues observed overall response rates of 70% (HER2+), 85% (basaloid), and 47% (luminal) [32]. The difference was much greater when cPR was considered: 36%, 27%, and 7%, respectively.



Liedtke and colleagues considered 1,118 patients who received neoadjuvant therapy at M.D. Anderson between 1985 and 2004, among which there were 255 TN tumors and 868 nTN tumors [19]. It should be noted that trastuzumab was not used and that a 10% cut-off point was used to define negative ERs. The cPR percentages (about 22%) favored TN tumors significantly both for anthracyclines combined with taxanes or not, but the most suggestive detail was the similar disease-free time for patients with cPR, either with TN tumors or nTN tumors. On the other hand, patients with TN tumors who did not achieve cPR had a poor outcome compared with women with nTN tumors (3-year disease-free rate, 68% vs. 88%; $P = 0.0001$). Recently, in a phase II study using ixabepilone monotherapy, a 26% cPR rate was found for breast tumor, and a 19% cPR rate when axillary involvement was included [33].

The use of anthracyclines and taxanes in breast cancer metastatic disease has shown greater efficacy in patients with negative ERs; based on these results, both drug families are indicated as first-line treatment for TN tumors. Certain difficulties should be considered, however: these drugs are commonly used for adjuvant therapy, maximum anthracycline doses are cardiotoxic, and the disease-free time is short – all of which cast doubts about chemosensitivity to these drugs. The mean duration of chemotherapy response was approximately 12 weeks for the first-line treatment, 9 weeks for the second-line treatment and only 4 weeks for the third-line treatment in an analysis of 111 patients with TN tumors [34]. Likewise, in terms of survival-related variables in addition to those already known for nTN tumors (previous adjuvant therapy, metastasis type, and so forth), age >50 years implied a better survival, as opposed to what is observed in nTN patients.

An early study on the use of ixabepilone plus capecitabine versus capecitabine monotherapy [35] in patients who failed to anthracyclines plus taxanes showed a higher response rate (27% vs. 9%) and a longer time to progression (4.1 months vs. 2.1 months) for the combination in the TN subgroup. Subsequently, and using the same comparison, the pooled results of the 046 study

(taxane resistant) and the 048 study (population pretreated with anthracyclines and taxanes) were presented at the 2008 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium [36]. Benefits were found for the ixabepilone–capecitabine combination in terms of objective responses (31% vs. 15%) and time to progression (4.2 months vs. 1.7 months), but not for overall survival (10.3 months vs. 9.0 months). The ongoing adjuvant study PACS-08, which stratifies TN tumors, includes the use of ixabepilone in one of its arms.

The role of platinum was re-considered in TN tumors taking into account their mechanism of action and the potential DNA changes in these tumors, which are phenotypically and molecularly similar to BRCA1 tumors. DNA repair defects may be adequate targets for alkylating agents [37-39]. In a phase II study, Garber and colleagues showed a 21% cPR with a neoadjuvant platinum-based regimen [40]; and Sirohi and colleagues, using different platinum-based regimens, showed higher chemosensitivity in TNs compared with nTNs, both in the neoadjuvant and metastatic settings, but curiously enough also showed a survival advantage in the metastatic setting [41]. Other studies did not show such difference, but rather the opposite [42,43]. There are many ongoing trials in the adjuvant, neoadjuvant and metastatic settings: carboplatin versus docetaxel (NCT00532727), four cycles of epirubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by four cycles of docetaxel alone or combined with carboplatin (NCT00432173), gemcitabine + cisplatin (NCT00601159), and gemcitabine + oxaliplatin (NCT00674206) are some examples [44]. The results obtained with PARP1 inhibitors will probably modify some of the combinations, but platinum will most likely remain useful.

Bevacizumab

Angiogenesis is one of the mechanisms of breast cancer progression, and even though vascular endothelial growth factor overexpression has not been found, basaloid tumors show glomeruloid microvascular proliferation [45].

The monoclonal antibody bevacizumab was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration as first-line treatment in metastatic breast cancer in combination with paclitaxel, as it showed benefits compared with paclitaxel monotherapy in terms of response rates (36.9% vs. 21.2%) and time to progression (8.8 months vs. 4.6 months). This phase III study (E2100) included a vast majority of HER2-negative patients (91%) and the TN subgroup also showed clear advantages with the addition of bevacizumab [46]. Two additional studies demonstrated increased objective response rates with the addition of bevacizumab in metastatic cancer: the phase III study AVADO combined bevacizumab with docetaxel [47], and the RIBBON-1 study used bevacizumab in

combination with different drugs (capecitabine, nab-paclitaxel, docetaxel or anthracyclines) [48].

Based on these results, there are now ongoing protocols that have included this monoclonal antibody in different adjuvant chemotherapy regimens in only TN tumors (NCT00528567 BEATRICE) or only HER2-negative tumors (CALGB 40603), as well as phase II trials in TN patients in the neoadjuvant and metastatic settings [44].

Therapies under study

Antiangiogenic therapy

Sunitinib – a tyrosine-kinase inhibitor whose targets include vascular endothelial growth factors 1, 2 and 3, platelet-derived growth factors alpha and beta, c-KIT and colony-stimulating factor 1 [49-51] – showed anti-tumor activity in several preclinical studies with breast cancer models, both alone or in combination with chemotherapeutic agents. In 64 pretreated patients (20 with TN tumors), 61 of whom were treated with anthracyclines and taxanes, Burstein and colleagues reported seven partial responses, of which three were in TN tumors [52]. A phase III randomized study evaluated sunitinib versus capecitabine in patients with previously treated HER2-negative advanced breast cancer [53]. More than 30% of the patients had TN disease and less than two prior regimens for metastatic disease. The primary end point, disease-free survival, was not met; indeed, the median disease-free survival was better with capecitabine therapy (4.2 months vs. 2.8 months). No statistically significant difference in overall survival was noted. After these results, the Independent Data Monitoring Committee recommended stopping trial enrollment for futility. Sunitinib cannot be recommended as monotherapy on this dosing schedule for treatment of advanced metastatic breast cancer.

Sorafenib is a potent multikinase inhibitor with anti-angiogenic and antiproliferation activity. This inhibitor is indicated for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma and unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. As a single agent, sorafenib has shown modest activity in patients with advanced breast cancer. Two phase IIb trials evaluating efficacy and safety of sorafenib with chemotherapy or placebo were presented at the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium 2009 [54,55].

The SOLTI-0701 trial evaluated the combination of sorafenib (400 mg twice daily) with capecitabine or placebo in patients with metastatic breast carcinoma (first or second line). Thirty percent of patients had TN disease. Median progression-free survival was extended in patients treated with the combination of sorafenib–capecitabine in comparison with the combination sorafenib–placebo. These results were statistically significant (hazard ratio, 0.57; $P = 0.0006$). The incidence of grade III hand–foot was 45% versus 13% in the placebo group [54].

The second trial evaluated sorafenib in combination with paclitaxel or placebo, as first-line therapy in patients with locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer. Forty percent of patients had TN disease. The hazard ratio for progression-free survival was 0.78 ($P = 0.08$). The incidence of grade III hand-foot syndrome was 30% versus 3% in the placebo group, a trend favoring the sorafenib-paclitaxel group. The concerning toxicity was the grade III hand-foot syndrome. The study presenters called these rates unacceptable, and recommend carefully monitoring patients for the occurrence of the early stages of hand-foot toxicity and dose-reducing more aggressively to reduce these events rates [55]. A somewhat lower dose of sorafenib may be utilized as a means of reducing the hand-foot toxicity in phase III trials.

Poly-(ADP-ribose)-polymerase inhibitors

Frequently, in different situations, cell DNA can be damaged. This is the reason why repair mechanisms come into play, of which PARP – particularly PARP1 – plays a vital role together with other mechanisms that involve BRCA1 and BRCA2. Mutations in any of the BRCA alleles are associated with a higher cancer risk, including breast cancer, ovarian cancer and prostate cancer. In the case of PARP1 inhibition and the resulting damage to one of the DNA arms, and in the absence of homologous recombination due to abnormal BRCA, so-called synthetic lethality occurs [56]. *In vitro* BRCA1-deficient or BRCA2-deficient cells were shown to be 1,000 times more sensitive to PARP inhibition than normal cells [37,57,58].

Fong and colleagues recently published their results using olaparib (AZD2281), an oral PARP inhibitor [56]. The study enrolled 60 patients, of which 22 were BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation carriers, and one patient had family history of tumors related to these mutations. Except for two of these patients with an atypical location (small-cell lung cancer and vaginal adenocarcinoma) who progressed quickly, 12 of the 19 remaining patients (63%) experienced clinical benefit. None of the patients without the mutation showed response. Of the nine breast cancer patients, two BRCA2 mutation carriers achieved clinical response (one with complete remission and the other with stable disease for 7 months). Eight out of 21 patients with ovarian cancer responded to olaparib therapy.

Prior to the previous publication, two presentations at the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2009 showed the results achieved with PARP1 inhibitors. In a phase II study comparing two doses of olaparib (100 mg vs. 400 mg) in 54 breast cancer patients with BRCA mutation and most of them resistant to taxanes and anthracyclines, divided into two groups, Tutt and colleagues observed 41%, 4% and 5.7 months for objective remission, complete remission and time to progression, respectively, with the

400 mg dose, and 22%, 0% and 3.8 months, respectively, with the 100 mg dose [59]. It is worth noting that 2/3 of patients treated with the 400 mg dose had a BRCA1 mutation.

The other presentation addressed the concept of DNA molecule damage caused by chemotherapeutic agents associated with a PARP1 inhibitor; in this case, intravenous BSI-201 [60]. Population characteristics included TN breast cancer with two or fewer previous treatment regimens, of which 59 patients received a carboplatin-gemcitabine regimen and 57 patients the same chemotherapy regimen plus BSI-201. The combination showed greater clinical benefit (52% vs. 12%), progression-free time (6.9 months vs. 3.3 months, $P = 0.0001$) and overall survival (9.2 months vs. 5.7 months, $P = 0.0005$).

Other PARP inhibitors are being studied; for example, AGO 14699 in locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer and BRCA1/2-mutated ovarian cancer, and AZD2881 in BRCA1/2-mutated ovarian cancer and metastatic TN or BRCA-mutated breast cancer. In a phase I study, AZD2881 was combined with carboplatin to treat metastatic breast cancer or BRCA-mutated ovarian cancer. The impressive phase II results with the PARP inhibitors have led to a definitive phase III study involving more than 420 patients that will be finished in 2010.

Other targeted therapies

Epidermal growth factor receptor inhibition

Basal-like TN breast cancers express basal markers such as cytokeratin 5/6 and epidermal growth factor receptor.

Epidermal growth factor receptor mRNA is more commonly observed and is at higher levels in basaloid tumors (54%). This marker is a poor prognosis predictor regardless of axillary lymph node involvement and tumor size [61]. Given its diagnostic and prognostic role in basal-like TN breast cancer, epidermal growth factor receptor's therapeutic role has been assessed with drugs that antagonize its action [62].

Cetuximab is a chimeric monoclonal antibody that inhibits the epidermal growth factor receptor. Some reports suggest cetuximab efficacy in TN breast cancer [63].

TBCRC 001 is a phase II study that randomized 102 patients with basaloid TN metastatic breast cancer to cetuximab alone, with carboplatin at progression (arm 1) or to initial cetuximab plus carboplatin (arm 2) [64]. The primary endpoint was the objective response. Fifty-four percent of patients had received prior chemotherapy for metastatic disease. While monotherapy was well tolerated, it showed poor activity: 6% with partial response, 4% achieved stable disease and 10% showed clinical benefit. On the contrary, the combined treatment showed higher rates of partial responses (18%) and

clinical benefit (27% and 10% for stable disease). In line with the aggressive nature of these tumors, progression-free survival was 2 months.

Another phase II study randomized 165 patients with metastatic breast cancer to carboplatin and weekly irinotecan with/without cetuximab [65]. The subgroup of patients with TN tumors (72 patients) showed a higher response rate in the cetuximab arm (49% vs. 30%).

At present, several phase II studies are assessing different cetuximab combinations with chemotherapy in TN metastatic breast cancer: phase I–II with paclitaxel and phase II with cisplatin [66]. Other epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors, such as gefitinib, did not show activity in this subgroup of patients [67]. Several clinical trials are currently assessing the efficacy of adding either a mAb, like cetuximab, or a tyrosine-kinase inhibitor, like erlotinib, in the treatment of TN breast cancer

Src tyrosine kinase inhibitors

The Src tyrosine kinase (Rous sarcoma virus) is also over-expressed in breast cancer and is associated with metastatic disease progression [68,69]. Dasatinib is an oral, small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor that acts on proteins src and abl. Preclinical studies show dasatinib's activity to inhibit the growth of basal-like breast cancer cell lines [70,71], providing the rationale for clinical research in this specific subgroup. A phase II trial showed a clinical benefit rate of 9% in TN metastatic breast cancer, but discontinuation of therapy and dose reductions weakened the results [72]. There are currently several studies evaluating dasatinib as monotherapy or in combination regimens in this setting.

mTOR inhibitors

mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) is a cell cycle regulator as well as an effector of the final common pathway of phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate phosphatase and PTEN/AKT (tensin homolog deleted from chromosome 1). This metabolic pathway is damaged in breast cancer [70]. Loss of the PTEN tumor suppressor gene is common in TN breast cancer, which causes increased mTOR activation [73]. This would be the rationale for the use of mTOR inhibitors for this condition.

A phase II randomized study evaluates two everolimus (oral mTOR inhibitor) regimens for first-line or second-line treatment in 59 metastatic breast cancer patients, of which 20 patients are HER2 receptor-negative [74]. The regimens compared are 10 mg/day or 70 mg/week; a 12% response was observed in the daily regimen versus 0% in the weekly one; there was a higher incidence of pneumonitis in the daily regimen (16% vs. 6%) and no biological markers of effectiveness.

A phase II, nonrandomized study is evaluating temsirolimus (intravenous mTOR inhibitor) in TN metastatic

breast cancer [66], and a phase III randomized study is evaluating everolimus in combination with anthracyclines and taxanes in the neoadjuvant setting.

Heat shock protein 90 inhibitors

Heat shock protein 90 is a cellular chaperone protein that facilitates the post-translational maturation and stabilization of a number of conformationally labile client proteins, including steroid receptors, RAF-1, cyclin-dependent kinase 4, AKT and other proteins that play a role in transducing proliferative signals [75]. When heat shock protein 90 function is inhibited, their client protein is degraded by proteosomes.

Geldanamycin and tanespimycin have demonstrated activity in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer disease [76]. The inhibitor PU-H71 demonstrated impressive response in TN breast cancer disease in preclinical studies [77].

Future directions

TN breast cancer represents a unique subgroup, with a specific molecular profile, an aggressive behavior pattern, a relative lack of effective therapies and a poor prognosis.

A large number of therapies have been developed to date for specific molecular targets used as monotherapy or combined with traditional chemotherapy. Currently there are over 50 clinical trials assessing various therapeutic options. Improved knowledge of the role of BRCA1 and the discovery of metabolic pathways has led to the development of other therapeutic strategies. Finding new markers expressed in basaloid and TN tumors will allow for the use of other therapeutic targets, such as $\alpha\beta$ -crystallin, Sox2, embryonic transcription factor, osteopontin, phosphorylated glycoprotein, nestin and type 4 intermediate filament protein. It is also necessary to develop research in the evaluation of predictive factors of treatment response. The assessment of caveolin 1 and caveolin 2 as a predictive marker of response to nab-paclitaxel, and of p63 and p73 as markers of platinum sensitivity is increasingly important.

Breast carcinomas have been reported to contain a subpopulation of CD44⁺/CD24⁻ tumor cells with stem-cell-like properties. The discovery of the CD44/CD24 phenotype and its relation with unfavorable prognosis in TN breast cancer disease also makes CD44 targeting an attractive therapeutic alternative [78]. This line of research will enable promotion of the use of specific targeted therapies and will allow progress in the development of an early treatment that may change the aggressive course of the disease.

Abbreviations

cPR, complete pathological remission; ER, estrogen receptor; HR, hormone receptor; mAb, monoclonal antibody; nTn, nontriple negative; PARP, poly-(ADP-ribose)-polymerase; TN, triple negative.

Acknowledgements

This article is part of *Breast Cancer Research* Volume 12 Supplement 2: Advanced breast cancer therapy. The full contents of the supplement are available online at <http://breast-cancer-research.com/supplements/12/S2>. Publication of this supplement has been sponsored by Bristol Myers Squibb Company. Translation services and administrative support were provided to the authors by Thomson Reuters, Healthcare and Science.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Published: 22 October 2010

References

- Sorlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, Johnsen H, Hastie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Thorsen T, Quist H, Matese JC, Brown PO, Botstein D, Eystein Lønning P, Borresen-Dale AL: **Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001, **98**:10869-10874.
- Lakhani S, Van De Vijver M: **The pathology of familial breast cancer: predictive value of immunohistochemical markers estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, HER2, and p53 mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2.** *J Clin Oncol* 2002, **20**:2310-2318.
- Slamon DJ, Clark GM, Wong SG, Levin WJ, Ullrich A, McGuire WL: **Human breast cancer: correlation of relapse and survival with amplification of the HER-2/neu oncogene.** *Science* 1987, **235**:177-182.
- Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Schwartz JN, Hagerty KL, Allred DC, Cote RJ, Dowsett M, Fitzgibbons PL, Hanna WM, Langer A, McShane LM, Paik S, Pegram MD, Perez EA, Press MF, Rhodes A, Sturgeon C, Taube SE, Tubbs R, Vance GH, van de Vijver M, Wheeler TM, Hayes DF; American Society of Clinical Oncology, College of American Pathologists: **American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologist guideline recommendation for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer.** *J Clin Oncol* 2007, **25**:118-145.
- Ross JS, Fletcher JA, Bloom KJ, Linette GP, Stec J, Symmans WF, Pusztai L, Hortobagyi GN: **Targeted therapy in breast cancer: the HER-2/neu gene and protein.** *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2004, **3**:379-398.
- Sorlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, Johnsen H, Hastie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Thorsen T, Quist H, Matese JC, Brown PO, Botstein D, Eystein Lønning P, Borresen-Dale AL: **Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001, **98**:10869-10874.
- Sorlie T, Tibshirani R, Parker J, Hastie T, Marron JS, Nobel A, Deng S, Johnsen H, Pesich R, Geisler S, Demeter J, Perou CM, Lønning PE, Brown PO, Borresen-Dale AL, Botstein D: **Repeated observation of breast tumor sub-types in independent gene expression data set.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003, **100**:8418-8423.
- Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, Pollack JR, Ross DT, Johnsen H, Aklesen LA, Fluge O, Pergamenchikov A, Williams C, Zhu SX, Lønning PE, Borresen-Dale AL, Brown PO, Botstein D: **Molecular portraits of human breast tumours.** *Nature* 2000, **406**:747-752.
- Rakha EA, El-Sayed ME, Green AR, Lee AH, Robertson JF, Ellis IO: **Prognostic markers in triple-negative breast cancer.** *Cancer* 2007, **109**:25-32.
- Bertucci F, Finetti P, Cervera N, Esterni B, Hermitte F, Viens P, Birnbaum D: **How basal are triple-negative breast cancer.** *Int J Cancer* 2008, **123**:236-240.
- Sotiriou C, Phil D, Pusztai J: **Gene-expression signatures in breast cancer.** *N Engl J Med* 2009, **360**:790-800.
- Nielsen TO, Hsu FD, Jensen K, Cheang M, Karaca G, Hu Z, Hernandez-Boussard T, Livasy C, Cowan D, Dressler L, Aklesen LA, Ragaz J, Gown AM, Gilks CB, van de Rijn M, Perou CM: **Immunohistochemical and clinical characterization of the basal-like sub-type of invasive breast carcinoma.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2004, **10**:5367-5374.
- Livasy CA, Karaca C, Nanda R, Tretiakova MS, Olopade OI, Moore DT, Perou CM: **Phenotypic evaluation of the basal-like subtype of invasive breast carcinoma.** *Mod Pathol* 2006, **19**:264-271.
- Rakha EA, Tan DS, Foulkes WD, Ellis IO, Tutt A, Nielsen TO, Reis-Filho JS: **Are triple negative tumours and basal-like breast cancer synonymous?** *Breast Cancer Res* 2007, **9**:404.
- Foulkes WD, Stefansson IM, Chappuis PO, Bégin LR, Goffin JR, Wong N, Trudel M, Aklesen LA: **Germline BRCA1 mutations and a basal epithelial phenotype in breast cancer.** *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003, **95**:1482-1485.
- Lakhani SR, Reis-Filho JS, Fulford L, Penault-Llorca F, van der Vijver M, Parry S, Bishop T, Benitez J, Rivas C, Bignon YJ, Chang-Cuade J, Hamann U, Cornelisse CJ, Devilee P, Beckmann MW, Nestle-Krämling C, Daly PA, Haites N, Varley J, Lalloo F, Evans G, Maugard C, Meijers-Heijboer H, Klijn JG, Olah E, Gusterson BA, Pilotti S, Radice P, Schermeck S, Sobol H, et al; Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium: **Prediction of BRCA1 status in patients with breast cancer using estrogen receptor and basal phenotype.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2005, **11**:5175-5180.
- Collett K, Stefansson IM, Eide J, Braaten A, Wang H, Eide GE, Thoresen SØ, Foulkes WD, Aklesen LA: **A basal epithelial phenotype is more frequent in interval breast cancer compared with screen detected tumors.** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005, **14**:1108-1112.
- Dent R, Trudeau M, Pritchard KL, Hanna WM, Kahn HK, Sawka CA, Lickley LA, Rawlinson E, Sun P, Narod SA: **Triple-negative breast cancer: clinical features and patterns of recurrence.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2007, **13**:4429-4434.
- Liedtke C, Mazouni C, Hess KR, André F, Tordai A, Mejia JA, Symmans WF, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Hennessy B, Green M, Cristofanilli M, Hortobagyi GN, Pusztai L: **Response to neoadjuvant therapy and long-term survival in patients with triple-negative breast cancer.** *J Clin Oncol* 2008, **26**:1275-1281.
- Smid M, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Sieuwerts AM, Yu J, Klijn JG, Foekens JA, Marten JW: **Subtypes of breast cancer show preferential site of relapse.** *Cancer Res* 2008, **68**:3108-3114.
- Lin NU, Claus E, Sohl J, Razzak AR, Arnaout A, Winer EP: **Sites of distant recurrence and clinical outcomes in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer: high incidence of central nervous system metastases.** *Cancer* 2008, **113**:2638-2645.
- Bendell JC, Domchek SM, Burstein HJ, Harris L, Younger J, Kuter I, Bunnell C, Rue M, Gelman R, Winer E: **Central nervous system metastases in women who receive trastuzumab-based therapy for metastatic breast carcinoma.** *Cancer* 2003, **97**:2972-2977.
- Bauer KR, Brown M, Cress RD, Parise CA, Caggiano V: **Descriptive analysis of estrogen receptor (ER)-negative, progesterone receptor (PR) negative, and HER-2 negative invasive breast cancer, the so-called triple-negative phenotype: a population-based study from the California Cancer Registry.** *Cancer* 2007, **109**:1721-1728.
- Morris GJ, Naidu S, Topham AK, Guiles F, Xu Y, McCue P, Schwartz GF, Park PK, Rosenber AL, Brill K, Mitchell EP: **Differences in breast carcinoma characteristics in newly diagnosed African-American and Caucasian patients: a single-institution compilation compared with the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database.** *Cancer* 2007, **110**:876-884.
- Vona-Davis L, Rose DP, Hazard H, Howard-McNatt M, Adkins F, Partin J, Hobbs G: **Triple-negative breast cancer and obesity in a rural Appalachian population.** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008, **17**:3319-3324.
- Solin LJ, Hwang W, Vapiwala N: **Outcome after breast conservation treatment with radiation for women with triple-negative early-stage invasive breast carcinoma.** *Clin Breast Cancer* 2009, **9**:96-100.
- NCCN Practice Guidelines in Oncology – V.2.2010 – BINV 8 [http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/f_guidelines.asp].
- Hayes DF, Thor AD, Dressler LG, Weaver D, Edgerton S, Cowan D, Broadwater G, Golstein LJ, Martino S, Ingle JN, Henderson IC, Norton L, Winer EP, Hudis CA, Ellis MJ, Berry DA: **HER2 and response to paclitaxel in node-positive breast cancer.** *N Engl J Med* 2007, **357**:1496-1506.
- Loesch D, Greco F, O'Shaughnessy J: **A randomized, multicenter, phase III trial comparing regimens of doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide (AC) followed by paclitaxel to doxorubicin + paclitaxel (AP) followed by weekly paclitaxel (wP) as adjuvant therapy for patients with high-risk, operable breast cancer.** *J Clin Oncol* 2007, **25**(Suppl 18):Abstract 517.
- Hudis C, Citron M, Berry D: **Five year follow-up of INT C9741: dose-dense (DD) chemotherapy (CRx) is safe and effective [abstract 41].** *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2005, **94**(Suppl 1):S20.
- Rouzier R, Perou CM, Symmans WF, Ibrahim N, Cristofanilli M, Anderson K, Hess KR, Stec J, Ayers M, Wagner P, Morandi P, Fan C, Rabiul I, Ross JS, Hortobagyi GN, Pusztai L: **Breast cancer molecular subtypes respond differently to preoperative chemotherapy.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2005, **11**:5678-5685.
- Carey LA, Dees EC, Sawyer L, Gatti L, Moore DT, Collichio F, Ollila DW, Sartor CI, Graham ML, Perou CM: **The triple negative paradox: primary tumor chemosensitivity of breast cancer subtypes.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2007, **13**:2329-2334.
- Baselga J, Zambetti M, Llombart-Cussac A, Manikhas G, Kubista E, Steger GG,

- Makhson A, Tjulandin S, Ludwig H, Verill M, Ciruelos E, Eghazi S, Xu LA, Zerba KE, Lee H, Clark E, Galbraith S: **Phase II genomics study of ixabepilone as neoadjuvant treatment for breast cancer.** *J Clin Oncol* 2009, **27**:526-534.
34. Kassam F, Enright K, Dent R, Dranitsaris G, Myers J, Flynn C, Fralick M, Kumar R, Clemons M: **Survival outcomes for patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer: implications for clinical practice and trial design.** *Clin Breast Cancer* 2009, **9**:29-33.
35. Thomas ES, Gomez HL, Li RK, Chung HC, Fein LE, Chan VF, Jassem J, Pivot XB, Klimovsky JV, de Mendoza FH, Xu B, Campone M, Lerzo GL, Peck RA, Mukhopadhyay P, Vahdat LT, Roché HH: **Ixabepilone plus capecitabine for metastatic breast cancer progressing after antracyclines and taxane treatment.** *J Clin Oncol* 2007, **25**:5210-5217.
36. Roche H, Li RK, Ro J: **Ixabepilone plus capecitabine improves progression free survival in patients with metastatic breast cancer resistant to taxanes: a pooled analysis from two phase III trials.** *Cancer Res* 2009, **69**(Suppl 2):Abstract 2015.
37. Farmer H, McCabe N, Lord CJ, Tutt AN, Jonson DA, Richardson TB, Santatosa M, Dillon KJ, Hickson I, Knights C, Martin NM, Jackson SP, Smith GC, Ashworth A: **Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA1 mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy.** *Nature* 2005, **434**:917-921.
38. Bhattacharyya A, Ear US, Koller BH, Weichselbaum RR, Bishop DK: **The breast cancer susceptibility gene BRCA1 is required for subnuclear assembly of Rad5 and survival following treatment with the DNA cross-linking agent cisplatin.** *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**:23899-23903.
39. Turner N, Tutt A, Ashworth A: **Hallmarks of 'BRCAness' in sporadic cancers.** *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004, **4**:814-819.
40. Garber JF, Richardson A, Harris LN: **Neo-adjuvant cisplatin (CDDP) in 'triple-negative' breast cancer (BC) [abstract 308].** *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2006, **100**(Suppl 1):S32.
41. Sirohi B, Arnedos M, Popat S, Ashley S, Nerurkar A, Walsh G, Johnston S, Smith IE: **Platinum-based chemotherapy in triple negative breast cancer.** *Annals Oncol* 2008, **19**:1847-1852.
42. Yi S, Uhm J, Cho E: **Clinical outcomes of metastatic breast cancer patients with triple-negative phenotype who received platinum-containing chemotherapy [abstract 1086].** *J Clin Oncol* 2008, **26**(Suppl 15):43s.
43. Chia JW, Ang P, See H: **Triple-negative metastatic/recurrent breast cancer: treatment with paclitaxel/carboplatin combination chemotherapy [abstract 1086].** *J Clin Oncol* 2007, **25**(Suppl 18):53s.
44. Tan AR, Swain SM: **Therapeutic strategies for triple-negative breast cancer.** *Cancer J* 2008, **14**:343-351.
45. Goffin JR, Straume O, Chappuis PO, Brunet JS, Bégin LR, Hamel N, Wong N, Akslen LA, Foulkes WD: **Glomeruloid microvascular proliferation is associated with p53 expression, germline BRCA1 mutations and an adverse outcome following breast cancer.** *Br J Cancer* 2003, **89**:1031-1034.
46. Miller K, Wang M, Graw J, Dickler M, Cobleigh M, Perez EA, Shenkier T, Cella D, Davidson NE: **Paclitaxel plus bevacizumab versus paclitaxel alone for metastatic breast cancer.** *N Engl J Med* 2007, **357**:2666-2676.
47. Miles D, Chan A, Romieu G: **Randomized, doubled-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III study of bevacizumab (BV) with docetaxel (D) or docetaxel with placebo (PL) as first-line therapy for patients with locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer (mBC): AVADO [abstract LBA 1011].** *J Clin Oncol* 2008, **26**(Suppl 15):1008s.
48. Robert N, Dieras V, Glaspy J: **Ribbon-1: randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab (B) for first-line treatment of HER2-negative locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer (MBC).** *J Clin Oncol* 2009, **27**(Suppl 15):Abstract 1005.
49. Mendel DB, Laird AD, Xin X, Louie SG, Christensen JG, Li G, Schreck RE, Abrams TJ, Ngai TJ, Lee LB, Murray LJ, Carver J, Chan E, Moss KG, Haznedar JO, Sukbunthorn J, Blake RA, Sun L, Tang C, Miller T, Shirazian S, McMahon G, Cherrington JM: **In vivo antitumor activity of SU11248, a novel tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting vascular endothelial growth factor and platelet-derived growth factor receptors: determination of a pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationship.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2003, **9**:327-337.
50. Abrams TJ, Lee LB, Murray LJ, Pryer NK, Cherrington JM: **SU 11248 inhibits KIT and platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta in preclinical models of human small cell lung cancer.** *Mol Cancer Ther* 2003, **2**:757-766.
51. Murray LJ, Abrams TJ, Long KR, Ngai TJ, Olson LM, Hong W, Keast PK, Brassard JA, O'Farrell AM, Cherrington JM, Pryer NK: **SU 11248 inhibits tumor growth and CSF-1R-dependent osteolysis in an experimental breast cancer bone metastasis model.** *Clin Exp Metastasis* 2003, **20**:757-766.
52. Burstein HJ, Elias AD, Rugo HS, Cobleigh MA, Wolff AC, Eisenberg PD, Lehman M, Adams BJ, Bello CL, DePrimo SE, Baum CM, Miller KD: **Phase II study of sunitinib malate, an oral multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with metastatic breast cancer previously treated with an anthracycline and a taxane.** *J Clin Oncol* 2008, **26**:1810-1816.
53. Barrios C, Liu M, Lee S: **A phase III randomized trial of sunitinib versus capecitabine in patients with previously treated HER 2 negative advanced breast disease (SUN 1107) [abstract 46].** In *Proceedings of the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium*; 2009: 32nd Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium [www.sabcs.org]
54. Baselga J, Roche H, Costa F: **SOLTI 0701: a multinacional double-blind, randomized phase 2b study evaluating the efficacy and safety of sorafenib compared to placebo when administered in combination with capecitabine in patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer [abstract 45].** In *Proceedings of the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium*; 2009: 32nd Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium [www.sabcs.org]
55. Gradishar W, Kaklamani V, Prasad Sahoo T: **A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 2b study evaluating the efficacy and safety of sorafenib in combination with paclitaxel as a first line therapy in patients with locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer [abstract 44].** In *Proceedings of the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium*; 2009: 32nd Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium [www.sabcs.org]
56. Fong PC, Boss DS, Yap TA, Tutt A, Wu P, Mergui-Roelvink M, Mortimer P, Swaisland H, Lau A, O'Connor MJ, Ashworth A, Carmichael J, Kaye SB, Schellens JH, de Bono JS: **Inhibition of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase in tumors from BRCA mutation carriers.** *N Engl J Med* 2009, **361**:123-134.
57. Bryant HE, Schultz N, Thomas HD, Parker KM, Flower D, Lopez E, Kyle S, Meuth M, Curtin NJ, Helleday T: **Specific killing of BRCA2-deficient tumours with inhibitors of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase.** *Nature* 2005, **434**:913-917; erratum *Nature* 2007, **447**:346.
58. Evers B, Drost R, Schut E, de Bruin M, van der Burg E, Derksen PW, Holstege H, Liu X, van Drunen E, Beverloo HB, Smith GC, Martin NM, Lau A, O'Connor MJ, Jonkers K: **Selective inhibition of BRCA-2 deficient mammary tumor cell growth by AZD2281 and cisplatin.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2008, **14**:3916-3925.
59. Tutt A, Robson M, Garber JE, Domchek S, Audeh MW, Weitzel JN, Friedlander M, Carmichael J: **Phase II trial of the oral PARP inhibitor olaparib-deficient advanced breast cancer.** *J Clin Oncol* 2009, **27**(Suppl 15):CRA501.
60. O'Shaughnessy J, Osborne C, Pippen J, Yoffe M, Patt D, Monaghan G, Rocha C, Ossovskaya V, Sherman B, Bradley C: **Efficacy of BSI-201, a poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP1) inhibitor, in combination with gemcitabine/carboplatin (G/C) in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC): results of a randomized phase II trial.** *J Clin Oncol* 2009, **27**(Suppl 15):Abstract 3.
61. Corkery B, Crown J, Clynes M, O'Donovan N: **Epidermal growth factor receptor as a potential therapeutic target in triple negative breast cancer.** *Ann Oncol* 2009, **20**:862-867.
62. Pal SK, Mortimer J: **Triple negative breast cancer: novel therapies and new directions.** *Maturitas* 2009, **63**:269-274.
63. Gholam D, Chebib A, Hauteville D, Bralet MP, Jassin C: **Combined paclitaxel and cetuximab achieved a major response on the skin metastases of a patient with epidermal growth factor receptor-positive, estrogen receptor-negative, progesterone receptor-negative and human epidermal growth factor receptor-2-negative (triple-negative) breast cancer.** *Anticancer Drugs* 2007, **18**:835-837.
64. Carey L, Rugo H, Marcom S: **TBCRC 001: EGFR inhibition with cetuximab added to carboplatin in metastatic TNBC (basal like).** *J Clin Oncol* 2008, **26**(suppl 15):Abstract 1009.
65. O'Shaughnessy J, Weskstein D, Vukelja S: **Results of a randomized phase II study of weekly irinotecan/carboplatin with or without cetuximab in patients with metastatic breast cancer.** *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2007, **106**(Suppl 1):S32.
66. **Clinical Trials** [www.ClinicalTrials.gov]
67. Baselga J, Albanell J, Ruiz A, Lluch A, Gascón P, Guillém V, González S, Sauldea S, Marimón I, Tabernero JM, Koehler MT, Rojo F: **Phase II and pharmacodynamic study of Gefitinib in patients with advanced breast cancer.** *J Clin Oncol* 2005, **23**:5323-5333.
68. Nielsen TO, Hsu FD, Jensen K, Cheang M, Karaca G, Hu Z, Hernandez-Boussard T, Livasy C, Cowen D, Dressler L, Akslen LA, Ragaz J, Gown AM, Gilks CB, van de Rijn M, Perou CM: **Immunohistochemical and clinical characterization of the basal like subtype of invasive breast carcinoma.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2004, **10**:5367-5374.
69. Conlin AK, Seidman AD: **Beyond cytotoxic chemotherapy for the first line**

- treatment of HER 2-negative, hormone insensitive metastatic breast cancer: current status and future opportunities. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2008, **8**:215-223.
70. Verbeek B, Vroom T, Adriansen-Slot S, Ottenhoff-Kalff AE, Geertzema JG, Hennipman A, Rijkse G: **c-Src protein expression is increased in human breast cancer. An immunohistochemical and biochemical analysis.** *J Pathol* 1996, **180**:383-388.
71. Finn RS, Dering J, Ginther C, Wilson CA, Glaspy P, Tchekmedyian N, Slamon DJ: **Dasatinib, an orally active small molecule inhibitor of both the src and abl kinases, selectively inhibits growth of basal-type triple negative breast cancer cell lines growing in vitro.** *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2007, **105**:319-326.
72. Finn R, Bengala C, Ibrahim N: **Phase II trial of dasatinib in triple-negative breast cancer: results of study CA 180059.** *Cancer Res* 2009, **69**(Suppl 2):Abstract 3118.
73. Saal LH, Holm K, Maurer M, Merneo L, Su T, Wang X, Yu JS, Malmström PO, Mansukhani M, Enoksson J, Hibshoosh H, Borg A, Parsons R: **PIK3CA mutations correlate with hormone receptors, node metastasis and ERBB2 and are mutually exclusive with PTEN loss in human breast carcinoma.** *Cancer Res* 2005, **65**:2554-2559.
74. Ellard SL, Clemons M, Gelmon KA, Norris B, Kennecke H, Chia S, Pritchard K, Eisen A, Vandenberg T, Taylor M, Sauerbrei E, Michaeli M, Huntsman D, Walsh W, Olivo M, McIntosh L, Seymour L: **Randomized phase II study comparing two schedules of everolimus in patients with recurrent/metastatic breast cancer: NCIC clinical trials group IND.163.** *J Clin Oncol* 2009, **27**:4536-4541.
75. Whitesell L, Mimmaugh E, De Costa B, Myers CE, Neckers LM: **Inhibition of HSP90-pp60v-src heteroprotein complex formation by benzoquinone ansamycins: essential role for stress proteins in oncogenic transformation.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1994, **91**:8324-8328.
76. Modi S: **Heat shock protein 90 inhibition: a novel strategy for the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer.** In *Proceedings of the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium*; 2009: 32nd Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium [www.sabcs.org]
77. Caldas-Lopez E, Cerchietti L, Ahn J, Clement CC, Robles AI, Rodina A, Moulick K, Taldone T, Gozman A, Guo Y, Wu N, de Stanchine E, White J, Gross SS, Ma Y, Varticovski L, Melnick A, Chiosis G: **Hsp90 inhibitor PU-H71, a multimodal inhibitor of malignancy induces complete responses in triple negative breast cancer models.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**:8368-8373.
78. Giatromanolaki A, Sivridis E, Fiska A, Koukourakis MI: **The CD44⁺/CD24⁻ phenotype relates to triple negative state and unfavorable prognosis in breast cancer patients.** *Med Oncol* 2010 [Epub ahead of print].

doi:10.1186/bcr2574

Cite this article as: Chacón RD, Costanzo MV: **Triple-negative breast cancer.** *Breast Cancer Research* 2010, **12**(Suppl 2):S3.