

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Triple-negative breast cancer and PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue)loss are predictors of BRCA1 germline mutations in women with early-onset and familial breast cancer, but not in women with isolated late-onset breast cancer

Sze-Yee Phuah¹, Lai-Meng Looi², Norhashimah Hassan^{1,4}, Anthony Rhodes^{3,4}, Sarah Dean³, Nur Aishah Mohd Taib⁴, Cheng-Har Yip⁴ and Soo-Hwang Teo^{1,4*}

Abstract

Introduction: Given that breast cancers in germline BRCA1 carriers are predominantly estrogen-negative and triplenegative, it has been suggested that women diagnosed with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) younger than 50 years should be offered BRCA1 testing, regardless of family cancer characteristics. However, the predictive value of triple-negative breast cancer, when taken in the context of personal and family cancer characteristics, is unknown. The aim of this study was to determine whether TNBC is a predictor of germline BRCA1 mutations, in the context of multiple predictive factors.

Methods: Germline mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 were analyzed by Sanger sequencing and multiple ligationdependent probe amplification (MLPA) analysis in 431 women from the Malaysian Breast Cancer Genetic Study, including 110 women with TNBC. Logistic regression was used to identify and to estimate the predictive strength of major determinants. Estrogen receptor (ER) and phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) status were assessed and included in a modified Manchester scoring method.

Results: Our study in an Asian series of TNBC patients demonstrated that 27 (24.5%) of 110 patients have germline mutations in BRCA1 (23 of 110) and BRCA2 (four of 110). We found that among women diagnosed with breast cancer aged 36 to 50 years but with no family history of breast or ovarian cancer, the prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations was similar in TNBC (8.5%) and non-TNBC patients (6.7%). By contrast, in women diagnosed with breast cancer, younger than 35 years, with no family history of these cancers, and in women with a family history of breast cancer, the prevalence of mutations was higher in TNBC compared with non-TNBC (28.0% and 9.9%; P = 0.045; and 42.1% and 14.2%; P < 0.0001, respectively]. Finally, we found that incorporation of estrogen-receptor and TNBC status improves the sensitivity of the Manchester Scoring method (42.9% to 64.3%), and furthermore, incorporation of PTEN status further improves sensitivity (42.9% to 85.7%).

Conclusions: We found that TNBC is an important criterion for highlighting women who may benefit from genetic testing, but that this may be most useful for women with early-onset breast cancer (35 years or younger) or with a family history of cancers. Furthermore, addition of TNBC and PTEN status improves the sensitivity of the Manchester scoring method and may be particularly important in the Asian context, where risk-assessment models underestimate the number of mutation carriers.

^{*} Correspondence: soohwang.teo@carif.com.my ¹Cancer Research Initiatives Foundation, Sime Darby Medical Centre, 1 Jalan SS12/1A, Subang Jaya, 47500 Selangor, Malaysia Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Introduction

Discovery of the breast cancer-predisposition genes *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* has enabled us to identify carriers accurately, to target the reduction of risk of breast and ovarian cancers in carriers, and to develop a new generation of targeted therapies (PARP inhibitors) [1]. However, given that deleterious mutations in these genes account for only 1% to 4% of all breast cancer cases across different populations [2] and that genetic testing and genetic counseling have hitherto been relatively expensive, genetic testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* has typically been offered only in clinical genetics settings to women who have early-onset breast cancer, and/or to individuals with significant family history of breast and ovarian, or other BRCA-related cancers.

Recently, it was suggested that screening women with early-onset triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) may be a cost-effective method with which to identify BRCA1 mutation carriers in Caucasian women [3-5]. This is because, in the majority of BRCA1 carriers, breast tumors have distinctive morphologic features and immunohistochemical phenotypes characteristic of basal-like breast cancers, including negative expression of the estrogen receptor, high expression of basal markers, such as basal cytokeratins CK5/6 and CK14, and loss of tumor-suppressor PTEN [6-8]. Moreover, molecular gene-expression profiling of BRCA1 tumors showed that the tumors have significant similarities with the basal-like subtype of breast cancer [9]. Up to 50% of women diagnosed with breast cancer, younger than 50 years, and women who have a family cancer history may have mutations in BRCA1 or BRCA2 [10]. However, it is notable that although more than 10% women in whom an isolated TNBC develops at younger than 40 years old may have a mutation in BRCA1 [3-5], insufficient evidence exists for those aged 41 to 50 years, with no family history of breast or ovarian cancer [11].

The purpose of this study was to determine whether TNBC is an independent criterion for stratifying women with an increased risk of having a *BRCA1* mutation and to determine whether the addition of immunohistologic features of basal-like breast cancers helps to define a subset of women who are likely to have germline mutations in *BRCA1*.

Materials and methods

MyBrCa Breast cancer cohort

The recruitment of breast cancer patients into the Malaysian Breast Cancer Genetic Study (MyBrCa) started in January 2003 at the University Malaya Medical Centre in Kuala Lumpur. All were histopathology-proven breast carcinomas. Histomorphologic and biomarker parameters were retrieved from the histopathology reports. Blood, demographic, and family-history data were collected from breast cancer patients who consented to participate in this

study. This study was approved by the ethics committee of University Malaya Medical Centre.

Analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2

From January 2003 to February 2012, 1,454 breast cancer patients were recruited into the MyBrCa study. Germline DNA samples were screened for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations for all women with (a) early-onset breast cancer (≤35 years of age) (35 with and 96 without family history of breast and ovarian cancer); (b) family history of breast or ovarian cancer in first- and second-degree relatives (193 women); or (c) isolated triple-negative breast cancer diagnosed at between 36 and 50 years old in the absence of family history (47 women). In addition, of the 432 women who were diagnosed aged 36 to 50 years with non-TNBC, 60 women with the highest risk were analyzed (bilateral breast cancer, breast and ovarian cancer in the index patient, family history of breast and ovarian cancer in third-degree or isolated breast cancer (≤45 years of age)). Mutation detection for germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations was conducted by using direct DNA sequencing and multiple ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), as previously described [12,13].

Pathologic analysis

For this cohort, patients were classified as having TNBC when we found <10% ER, <10% PR, and 0 or $\leq 2^+$ HER2 staining with immunohistochemistry. HER2 was not routinely tested for in all patients prior to 2006, and therefore, in 259 patients, HER2 status was unavailable. Of the 110 women for whom germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations were analyzed, adequate archived paraffinized invasive tumor tissue for evaluation was available from 32 index patients and two relatives. All cases were tested with immunohistochemistry for cytokeratin 5/6 (D5/16B4; Dako Ltd.), cytokeratin 14 (LL02; Novocastra Labs), and PTEN (6H2.1; Dako). Cytokeratin 5/6 or cytokeratin 14 was defined as positive if >10% of invasive tumor cells showed cytoplasmic staining [14], and PTEN was defined as negative if PTEN staining was undetectable in tumor cells in contrast to adjacent normal stromal cells [6-8]. Description of other pathologic features was conducted as previously described [14].

Statistical methods

Unconditional multiple linear logistic regression was used to model the probability that the proband was a mutation carrier as a function of her personal and family history and age at diagnosis, as described in [15].

Manchester score analysis

Manchester score was calculated as previously described [16]. In brief, this system assigns scores depending on the type of cancer and age at diagnosis and developed such

that a score of 15 was equivalent to a 10% chance of identifying a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation. The modified method [17-19] includes upward adjustments for TNBC (+4), estrogen-negative (+1), and high-grade invasive cancers (+2), and downward adjustments for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive (-4) or estrogen-receptor positive (-1), lobular (-2), and low-grade noninvasive cancers (-2). For individuals in whom tumor tissue was available for PTEN staining, upward (+1) and downward (-1) adjustments were made for the absence or presence of PTEN staining, respectively.

Results

Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 germline mutations is higher among subsets of women with TNBC compared with non-TNBC

Of the 1,454 breast cancer patients in the MyBrCa Study, 177 (12.2%) had TNBC based on pathology reports. Of these 177 women, 50 older than 50 years developed breast cancer and did not have any family history of breast or ovarian cancer in first- or second-degree relatives and were therefore excluded from the study. Of the remaining 127 women, 63 had already been analyzed because of family history of either breast and/or ovarian cancer (38 individuals, 13 BRCA1 and three BRCA2 carriers, mutation prevalence, 42.1%) or early-onset breast cancer (25 individuals, seven BRCA1 and no BRCA2 carriers; mutation prevalence, 28.0%]. Of the remaining 64 women diagnosed at ages 36 through 50 years, but with no family history of breast or ovarian cancer, 47 women were screened for germline mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes, and three BRCA1 and one BRCA2 carriers were identified (mutation prevalence, 8.5%). Overall, of the 110 women who developed TNBC and were analyzed (63 with and 47 without family history of breast and ovarian cancers), 23 BRCA1 and 4 BRCA2 carriers were identified, giving a mutation prevalence of 24.5% (Table 1).

In total, 321 women with non-TNBC were analyzed. This included all women with a family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer (190 individuals, 10 BRCA1 and 17 BRCA2 carriers, mutation prevalence 14.2%) and women diagnosed at 35 years or younger with no family history of breast and ovarian cancer (71 individuals, three BRCA1 and four BRCA2 carriers; mutation prevalence, 9.9% (Table 2)). In addition, of the 432 women who were diagnosed aged 36 through 50 years with non-TNBC, 60 women with the highest risk were analyzed (bilateral breast cancer, breast and ovarian cancer in the index patient, family history of breast and ovarian cancer in third degree or isolated breast cancer (aged 45 years or younger)). Of these 60 women, one BRCA1 and three BRCA2 carriers were found [mutation prevalence, 6.7%]. Overall, of the 321 non-TNBC women analyzed, 14 *BRCA1* and 24 *BRCA2* carriers were identified, giving a mutation prevalence of 11.8%.

We compared the prevalence of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations in the women who developed TNBC and non-TNBC. Of the women with low familial risk of breast and ovarian cancer (diagnosed 36 to 50 with no family history of breast or ovarian cancer], 6.4% and 1.7% of women with TNBC and non-TNBC were found to be *BRCA1* carriers, respectively, whereas 2.1% and 5.0% were *BRCA2* carriers. Overall, 8.5% of women with TNBC and 6.7% of women with non-TNBC were found to have germline *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations. This suggests that, regardless of the TNBC status, in the absence of family history of breast or ovarian cancer in this age group, a low (<10%) probability exists of having a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation.

By contrast, in two other groups of women, a significantly higher prevalence of BRCA1 mutations was found in women who developed TNBC versus non-TNBC. First, of the women in whom breast cancer developed at 35 years or younger, 28.0% of women with TNBC were BRCA1 or BRCA2 carriers compared with only 9.9% among those who developed non-TNBC (P=0.045). Notably, all 28.0% of women diagnosed with TNBC at younger than 35 years were BRCA1 carriers, compared with 4.2% BRCA1 and 5.6% BRCA2 among women diagnosed with non-TNBC.

Second, of women who had a family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer, 42.1% of women with TNBC were BRCA1 or BRCA2 carriers compared with 14.2% of those with non-TNBC (P = < 0.0001). This is largely because of a difference in prevalence of BRCA1 mutations in TNBC compared with non-TNBC (34.2% compared with 5.3%; P = < 0.0001), as no significant difference occurred in the prevalence of BRCA2 in both subsets of women (7.9% compared with 8.9%; P = 1.00). Notably, no significant difference was noted in average age at onset of breast cancer in the index patient, or the mean number of first-degree relatives of women in whom TNBC developed compared with the non-TNBC (seven relatives), but the mean number of affected relatives in the non-TNBC group was higher than that in the TNBC group (0.8 compared with 0.6; P = 0.003). This suggests that the higher prevalence of BRCA1 mutations in the women in whom TNBC developed compared with women in whom non-TNBC developed is not due to a difference in the age at onset or strength of family history. Taken together, the results suggest that early onset and familial breast cancer patients in whom TNBC develops are more likely to have mutations in the BRCA1 genes compared with those in whom non-TNBC develops (24.5% versus 11.8%; *P* = 0.001).

In addition, in this cohort, a marked difference appears in prevalence of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* in the

Table 1 Characteristics of women tested for germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations

Characteristics	Total		BRCA1		BRCA2		BRCA1 and -2	
	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)
Female breast, age at index diagnosis, years								
≤30	50	11.6	8	16.0	2	4.0	10	20.0
31-40	164	38.1	17	10.4	13	7.9	30	18.3
41-50	144	33.4	8	5.6	8	5.6	16	11.1
>50	73	16.9	4	5.5	5	6.8	9	12.3
Breast or ovarian cancers in family (first and second degree only)								
Female breast	217	50.3	21	9.7	20	9.2	41	18.9
Female ovary	19	4.4	6	31.6	3	15.8	9	47.4
Manchester score								
<u>≤</u> 10	205	47.6	5	2.4	6	2.9	11	5.4
11-17	146	33.9	16	11.0	10	6.8	26	17.8
≥18	80	18.6	16	20.0	12	15.0	28	35.0
Ancestry								
Malay	115	26.7	8	7.0	9	7.8	17	14.8
Chinese	248	57.5	15	6.0	16	6.5	31	12.5
Indian	59	13.7	12	20.3	3	5.1	15	25.4
Others	9	2.1	2	22.2	0	0.0	2	22.2
Referral characteristic								
Early onset ≤35 years, regardless of family history	131	30.4	17	13.0	8	6.1	25	19.1
Two cases of breast cancer, one <50 years	126	29.2	10	7.9	11	8.7	21	16.7
Three cases of breast or ovarian cancer	76	17.6	13	17.1	12	15.8	25	32.9
One case of bilateral breast cancer <50 years, in index or first- and second-degree relative	39	9.0	10	25.6	3	7.7	13	33.3
One case of breast and ovarian cancer in same individual in index or first- and second-degree relative	8	1.9	3	37.5	1	12.5	4	50.0
Triple-negative breast cancer, ≤50 years	98	22.7	20	20.4	3	3.1	23	23.5

In total, 431 breast cancer patients were analyzed for germline mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* by DNA sequencing and multiple ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) analysis. Table 1 shows the distribution of women according to their age at diagnosis, family history of breast and ovarian cancer in first-and second-degree relatives, Manchester score and self-declared ethnicity, and the prevalence of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations in each category.

TNBC and non-TNBC patients. TNBC patients are more likely to have BRCA1 than BRCA2 mutations (20.9% and 4.4%; p < 0.0001), whereas no statistically significant difference is present in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in non-TNBC patients (3.6% and 7.5%, respectively; P = 0.158).

Logistic regression analyses

Given the possible confounding between the age of diagnosis, subtype of breast cancer, and family history of cancer, it has been demonstrated that the predictive effects of factors should be based on an analysis that takes into account all factors simultaneously [15]. By using binary logistic regression analysis, we found that age at diagnosis of breast cancer, having any family history of breast or ovarian cancer, and having triple-negative breast cancer were associated with a 2.6-fold (confidence interval (CI), 1.4 to 4.8; P < 0.003), 3.5-fold (CI, 1.9 to 6.8; P < 0.0001), and 3.5-fold (CI, 1.91 to 6.3; P < 0.0001) increase in risk of being a BRCA1 or BRCA2 carrier, respectively. No

evidence of interaction effects between these factors was seen. With multiple linear logistic regression analysis, the strongest predictors of mutation status were breast cancer in the proband if age at diagnosis was younger than 35 years (P=0.043), with bilateral breast cancer (P=0.025), with triple-negative breast cancer (P<0.0001), with breast cancer in a first-degree relative if age at diagnosis was younger than 60 years (P<0.01), or ovarian cancer was present in a first- or second-degree relative (P<0.025) (Table 3).

The probability that a proband with a given set of personal and family characteristics is a mutation carrier can be estimated from the model fit shown in Table 4. Starting with the log odds score of θ (the baseline coefficient), add the respective regression coefficient (β) for each personal characteristic and the respective regression for each family characteristic multiplied by the number of affected relatives. In this model, an overall score of -1.5 is equivalent to a 15% probability of being a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* carrier. Notably, the overall scores

Table 2 Characteristics of women tested for germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations

Characteristics		Triple negative				Not triple negative			
	n = 110				n = 321				
	n	BRCA1	BRCA2	Total (%)	n	BRCA1	BRCA2	Total (%)	_
With family history									
Early onset, ≤35 years old	6	4	0	4 (66.7)	29	3	4	7 (24.1)	0.063 ^a
>35 years old	32	9	3	12 (37.5)	161	7	13	20 (12.4)	0.0005 ^b
Overall	38	13	3	16 (42.1)	190	10	17	27 (14.2)	< 0.0001 b
Without family history									
Early onset, ≤35 years old	25	7	0	7 (28.0)	71	3	4	7 (9.9)	0.045 ^a
36 to 50 years old	47	3	1	4 (8.5)	60	1	3	4 (6.7)	1.000 ^a
Overall	72	10	1	11 (15.3)	131	4	7	11 (8.4)	0.131 ^b
All, regardless of family history or age	110	23	4	27 (24.5)	321	14	24	38 (11.8)	0.001 ^b
Mean age at diagnosis (years)	40.6				42.0				0.172
Mean number of first-degree relatives	7.2				7.1				0.827
Mean number of affected (breast or ovarian) relatives, first or second degree	0.6				0.8				0.003
Prevalence of BRCA1 mutations		20.9%				4.4%			<0.0001 ^b
Prevalence of BRCA2 mutations			3.6%				7.5%		0.158 ^b

^aFisher Exact test. ^b χ^2 test. The prevalence of germline mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* (combined) in the 431 breast cancer patients analyzed, in whom 110 developed triple-negative breast cancer, and 321 did not. Family history includes presence of breast or ovarian cancer in first- and second-degree relatives, bilateral breast cancer in the index patient or relative, or breast and ovarian cancer in the same individual in the index patient or relative. *P* values were calculated by using Fisher Exact or χ^2 test, and mean values were calculated by using independent *t* test.

for women with isolated TNBC who were diagnosed aged \leq 35 years old, 36 to 39 years old, or 40 to 49 years old, are -1.1, -1.6, and -2.1, respectively, which correlates with a 29%, 14%, and 9% probability of being a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* carrier. The overall scores for women with isolated non-TNBC who were diagnosed at younger than 35 years, 36 to 39 years old, and 40 to 49 years old, are -2.4, -2.9, and -3.4, respectively, which correlates

with a 9%, 4%, and 4% probability of being a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* carrier. These results show that women with isolated TNBC have a higher probability of being carriers compared with women with isolated non-TNBC and that, of the women with isolated breast cancers, only women with isolated TNBC diagnosed at younger than 40 years have a greater than 10% probability of having germline mutations in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*.

Table 3 Logistic regression coefficients (ß), standard errors, and nominal significance levels of potentially predictive factors for BRCA1 or BRCA2 carriers

Characteristic	β	Standard error	Р
Baseline	-5.169	1.388	0.000
Proband breast cancer diagnosis ≤35 years	2.791	1.379	0.043
Proband breast cancer diagnosis 36-39 years	2.248	1.365	0.100
Proband breast cancer diagnosis 40-49 years	1.763	1.355	0.193
Proband breast cancer diagnosis 50-59 years	1.065	1.354	0.431
Proband bilateral breast cancer at any age	1.036	0.462	0.025
Proband ovarian cancer at any age	0.238	1.469	0.871
Proband triple-negative breast cancer at any age	1.323	0.331	0.000
For each relative with cancer:			
First degree, breast cancer diagnosis ≤39 years	0.950	0.369	0.010
First degree, breast cancer diagnosis 40-49 years	1.450	0.386	0.000
First degree, breast cancer diagnosis 50-59 years	1.445	0.450	0.001
First degree, breast cancer diagnosis 60+ years	0.207	0.646	0.749
First degree, ovarian cancer at any age	2.556	0.769	0.001
Second degree, breast cancer diagnosis at any age	0.359	0.272	0.187
Second degree, ovarian cancer diagnosis at any age	1.861	0.832	0.025

The multiple linear logistic regression analysis of the probability of breast cancer patients having a germline mutation in the BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene on the basis of age at diagnosis and family history of breast or ovarian cancers.

Table 4 Overall number of carriers for each predicted range of probability of being a BRCA1 or a BRCA2 carrier

Overall score	Number of women	Number of carriers	Percentage of carriers			
≤3.5	25	0	0			
-3.0 to -3.5	49	2	4			
-2.5 to -3.0	47	2	4			
-2.0 to -2.5	144	13	9			
-1.5 to -2.0	58	8	14			
-1.0 to -1.5	59	17	29			
0 to -1.0	33	11	33			
0 to 1	16	12	75			

The number of carriers for each predicted range of probability calculated through incorporation of logistic regression coefficients generated in Table 3.

Pathologic features of *BRCA1* and non-*BRCA1* triplenegative breast cancer

We analyzed the pathology reports of *BRCA1* carriers and compared them with those in *BRCA2* and non-BRCA carriers. Of the 31 *BRCA1* index carriers and affected relatives where pathology reports were available, 26 (83.9%) developed TNBC. By contrast, of the 270 *BRCA2* carriers and non-BRCA carriers, 88 (32.6%) developed TNBC.

Of the 110 TNBC patients included in this study and for whom germline status of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* has been characterized (see earlier), 34 had adequate invasive tumor tissue for evaluation. Slides were cut and immunostained for several markers that have been reported to be useful in defining the basal-like phenotype, including basal cytokeratins CK5/6, CK14, and PTEN. Although some higher grade were present, higher basal cytokeratin, loss of PTEN, high grade of pleomorphism, presence of pushing margins, solid sheets, necrosis, and mitosis in *BRCA1* carriers compared with non-*BRCA1* carriers, these differences were not statistically significant (see Additional file 1).

Inclusion of pathologic features in Manchester scores

To determine whether the addition of pathologic features can define a subset of women who are likely to have germline mutations in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*, we calculated the Manchester score for each individual based on the original Manchester score [16] and on the updated Manchester score where pathology was included [18]. Upward adjustments in *BRCA1* mutation-prediction scores were made for grade 3 ductal cancers, estrogen receptor (ER), and triple-negative tumors, and downward adjustments in the score were made for grade 1 tumors, lobular cancer, ductal or lobular carcinoma *in situ*, noninvasive breast cancer, and ER/HER2 positivity, as described previously [18].

Of the 431 women in this study, 86 were excluded because pathology reports were incomplete. Without adjustment, 72 of 345 women had a Manchester score of \geq 15, and this included only 12 of the 28 *BRCA1*

carriers (sensitivity, 42.9%; specificity, 81.1%; PPV, 16.7%). With the adjustment, 82 of the 345 women had a Manchester score of \geq 15, and this included 18 of the 28 *BRCA1* carriers (sensitivity, 64.3%; specificity, 79.8%; PPV, 22.0%) (Table 5). These results show that adjustment in this cohort resulted in 14% increase in the number of tests (72 to 82) and 21% increase in sensitivity (43% to 64%).

In addition, given that PTEN loss was associated with BRCA1 germline mutations [8], we made a further upward adjustment for PTEN loss (+1 point) for all patients for whom PTEN status was available. Without adjustment, five of 26 women had a >10% probability of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations, and this included only three of the seven BRCA1 carriers (sensitivity, 42.9%; specificity, 89.5%; PPV, 60.0%). With the adjustment for PTEN loss, 10 of the 26 women had a Manchester score \geq 15, and this included six of the seven BRCA1 carriers (sensitivity, 85.7%; specificity, 78.9%; PPV, 60.0%; Table 5). Although PTEN results were available for only a small subset of patients, these results suggest that upward adjustment for PTEN may aid the identification of BRCA1 carriers.

Discussion

Our study in an Asian series of triple-negative breast cancer patients demonstrated that up to 24.5% (27 of 110) women have germline mutations in *BRCA1* (23 of 110) and *BRCA2* (four of 110), and that the addition of negative estrogen-receptor status and *PTEN* loss improves the sensitivity of the Manchester Scoring method in our Asian cohort.

The results in this study are consistent with that in other cohorts of triple-negative breast cancer patients, in whom 11% to 39% have germline mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* [3,6,20-23], and cohorts of estrogenreceptor-negative breast cancer patients, of whom 24% to 29% have germline mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* [4,6,24,25]. A recent single-institution study showed that 50% of high-risk patients with TNBC had mutations in *BRCA1*/2, but notably, 76% of this cohort had a family history of breast cancer [10]. For all of these series and for our study, *BRCA1* mutations are more common than *BRCA2* mutations.

Cost-effectiveness analyses have suggested that mutation testing for all TNBC patients younger than 50 years old may be a cost-effective approach, assuming that 10% to 25% of these patients have BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations [5]. However, we find that although TNBC is associated with an increased prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations among those younger than 35 years old (28.0% in TNBC versus 9.9% in non-TNBC; P = 0.045], TNBC is not associated with an increased prevalence of mutations among those aged 36 to 50 years without a family history of breast or ovarian cancer (BRCA prevalence of 8.5% and

Table 5 Performance of Manchester Scoring method before and after adjustment with ER status or ER and PTEN status

Manchester Scoring method	Cohort,	Total BRCA1 carriers, n	No pathology	adjustment	With pathology adjustment		
			Individuals tested, n	BRCA1 carriers tested, n	Individuals tested, n	BRCA1 carriers tested, n	
Adjustment + estrogen-receptor status	345	28	72	12	82	18	
Adjustment + estrogen-receptor + PTEN status	26	7	5	3	10	6	

The predicted and observed numbers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 (combined) carriers in women with low (1 to 14) and high Manchester score, either without adjustment for pathologic features, or with adjustment for ER status and other features [17-19], or further adjustment including +1 for loss of PTEN.

6.7%, respectively). This may be because *BRCA1* is a high-penetrance gene and is associated with both early-onset disease and multiple affected family members, and therefore, in the absence of these features, a low prevalence of *BRCA1* mutations is found, even in TNBC. Further studies with larger population-based datasets are needed to determine the prevalence of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations and the cost-effectiveness of testing women diagnosed with isolated breast cancer, aged 40 to 49 years old.

We find that the prevalence of BRCA1 mutations is higher in TNBC compared with non-TNBC in women in whom early-onset breast cancer develops and in women with a family history of breast and ovarian cancer. This effect is largely due to a difference in prevalence of BRCA1 mutations and is consistent with the observation that the majority of BRCA1 carriers develop early-onset triple-negative basal-like breast tumors that have distinctive morphologic and immunohistochemical characteristics [6-8]. Intriguingly, the significant proportion of TNBC patients who have BRCA1 germline mutations in these two subgroups of patients (28.0% and 34.2%) suggests that mutation in BRCA1 is a key driver of the development of TNBC. This could be due to the roles of BRCA1 in determining cell fate of luminal progenitor cells [26], its effect on transcriptional regulation of ER-gene expression [27], its effect on regulation of mi155 [27], or a combination of these.

Taken together, we suggest that TNBC status may be helpful in stratifying women with a moderate risk of having *BRCA1* mutations (for example, a weak family history or isolated case of early-onset breast cancer), but may have limited utility in the absence of such features (for example, women with a single case of TNBC aged 40 to 50 years old).

Finally, we find that addition of negative estrogen receptor and TNBC status improves the sensitivity and specificity of the Manchester Scoring method in our cohort and that addition of *PTEN* loss further improves the sensitivity of the method. *PTEN* loss is highly associated with *BRCA1* breast cancers (28 (82.4%) of 34 of tumor samples from *BRCA1* carriers showed the loss of

PTEN by immunohistochemistry) and can result from gene rearrangements involving DNA double-strand breaks, intragenic inversions on insertions, homozygous deletions, and focalized CNIs [8]. However, given that the data on *PTEN* loss were available on only a small subset of patients, this result requires further validation in a larger cohort of patients. We believe that methods for stratifying the likelihood of carrying a *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation that is independent of family history are important, particularly in the Asian context, because the familial and social stigma associated with cancer makes accurate family-history reporting challenging [28].

Conclusions

In previous studies and in our cohort of TNBC, a significant proportion of women have germline BRCA1 mutations. Our study shows that among women with early-onset breast cancer (\leq 35 years old) and with a family history of breast cancer, a higher prevalence of BRCA1 mutations is present in women with TNBC compared with women with non-TNBC. However, no difference in prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations is noted among women who develop isolated breast cancer aged 36 to 50 (7% to 8% prevalence in both TNBC and non-TNBC). Our study suggests that the current clinical recommendations of offering BRCA1 and BRCA2 genetic testing, even to women with isolated TNBC younger than 60 years, warrants further analysis.

Additional material

Additional file 1: CK, cytokeratin. Characteristics of *BRCA1* carriers Additional File 1 shows the pathologic features of breast cancers in eight *BRCA1* carriers compared with 26 non-*BRCA1* carriers.

Abbreviations

BRCA1: breast cancer 1 gene; BRCA2: breast cancer 2 gene; CK: cytokeratin; CNI: DNA copy number increase; ER: estrogen receptor; HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; MyBrCa: Malaysian Breast Cancer Genetic Study; NCCN; National Comprehensive Cancer Network; PR: progesterone receptor; PTEN: phosphatase and tensin homologue; TNBC: triple-negative breast cancer.

Acknowledgements

We thank participants and their families for taking part in this study; Eswary Thirthagiri, Shivaani Mariapun, Peter Kang, Daphne Lee, Kavitta Sivanandan, Kang In Nee, and Tomica Ambang for assistance with DNA preparation, retrieval of pathology data, calculation of Manchester score, and helpful discussions; and Thong Meow Keong and Yoon Sook-Yee for genetic counseling of BRCA carriers. This study was funded by research grants from the Malaysian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation, Ministry of Higher Education University Malaya (UM.C/HIR/MOHE/06), and Cancer Research Initiatives Foundation.

Author details

¹Cancer Research Initiatives Foundation, Sime Darby Medical Centre, 1 Jalan SS12/1A, Subang Jaya, 47500 Selangor, Malaysia. ²Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, University Malaya Medical Centre, 50603, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ³Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of the West of England, Bristol, Frenchay Campus, Coldharbour Lane, Bristol BS16 1QY, UK. ⁴Breast Cancer Research Unit, University Malaya Cancer Research Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University Malaya Medical Centre, University Malaya, 50603, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Authors' contributions

PSY carried out the genetic analyses. LLM, AR, and SD conducted the pathological analyses, and NH conducted the statistical analyses. NAMT and YCH recruited patients and collected clinical data. PSY and TSH conceived the study and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 21 June 2012 Revised: 23 September 2012 Accepted: 26 October 2012 Published: 2 November 2012

References

- Rehman FL, Lord CJ, Ashworth A: Synthetic lethal approaches to breast cancer therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2010, 7:718-724.
- Kurian AW: BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations across race and ethnicity: distribution and clinical implications. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 2010, 22:72-78.
- 3. Young SR, Pilarski RT, Donenberg T, Shapiro C, Hammond LS, Miller J, Brooks KA, Cohen S, Tenenholz B, Desai D, Zandvakili I, Royer R, Li S, Narod SA: The prevalence of BRCA1 mutations among young women with triple-negative breast cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2009, 9:86.
- Chang J, Hilsenbeck SG, Sng JH, Wong J, Ragu GC: Pathological features and BRCA1 mutation screening in premenopausal breast cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res 2001, 7:1739-1742.
- Kwon JS, Gutierrez-Barrera AM, Young D, Sun CC, Daniels MS, Lu KH, Arun B: Expanding the criteria for BRCA mutation testing in breast cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 2010, 28:4214-4220.
- Foulkes WD, Stefansson IM, Chappuis PO, Begin LR, Goffin JR, Wong N, Trudel M, Akslen LA: Germline BRCA1 mutations and a basal epithelial phenotype in breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003, 95:1482-1485.
- Lakhani SR, Reis-Filho JS, Fulford L, Penault-Llorca F, van der Vijver M, Parry S, Bishop T, Benitez J, Rivas C, Bignon YJ, Chang-Claude J, Hamann U, Cornelisse CJ, Devilee P, Beckmann MW, Nestle-Krämling C, Daly PA, Haites N, Varley J, Lalloo F, Evans G, Maugard C, Meijers-Heijboer H, Klijn JG, Olah E, Gusterson BA, Pilotti S, Radice P, Scherneck S, Sobol H, et al: Prediction of BRCA1 status in patients with breast cancer using estrogen receptor and basal phenotype. Clin Cancer Res 2005, 11:5175-5180.
- Saal LH, Gruvberger-Saal SK, Persson C, Lövgren K, Jumppanen M, Staaf J, Jönsson G, Pires MM, Maurer M, Holm K, Koujak S, Subramaniyam S, Vallon-Christersson J, Olsson H, Su T, Memeo L, Ludwig T, Ethier SP, Krogh M, Szabolcs M, Murty W, Isola J, Hibshoosh H, Parsons R, Borg A: Recurrent gross mutations of the PTEN tumor suppressor gene in breast cancers with deficient DSB repair. Nat Genet 2008, 40:102-107.
- Sorlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, Johnsen H, Hastie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Thorsen T, Quist H, Matese JC, Brown PO, Botstein D, Lonning PE, Borresen-Dale AL: Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001, 98:10869-10874.

- Bayraktar S, Gutierrez-Barrera AM, Liu D, Tasbas T, Akar U, Litton JK, Lin E, Albarracin CT, Meric-Bernstam F, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Hortobagyi GN, Arun BK: Outcome of triple-negative breast cancer in patients with or without deleterious BRCA mutations. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011, 130:145-153.
- Evans DG, Howell A, Ward D, Lalloo F, Jones JL, Eccles DM: Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in triple negative breast cancer. J Med Genet 2011, 48:520-522.
- Thirthagiri E, Lee SY, Kang P, Lee DS, Toh GT, Selamat S, Yoon SY, Taib NA, Thong MK, Yip CH, Teo SH: Evaluation of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations and risk-prediction models in a typical Asian country (Malaysia) with a relatively low incidence of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 2008, 10:R59.
- Toh GT, Kang P, Lee SS, Lee DS, Lee SY, Selamat S, Mohd Taib NA, Yoon SY, Yip CH, Teo SH: BRCA1 and BRCA2 germline mutations in Malaysian women with early-onset breast cancer without a family history. PLoS One 2008. 3:e2024.
- 14. Lakhani SR, Jacquemier J, Sloane JP, Gusterson BA, Anderson TJ, van de Vijver MJ, Farid LM, Venter D, Antoniou A, Storfer-Isser A, Smyth E, Steel CM, Haites N, Scott RJ, Goldgar D, Neuhausen S, Daly PA, Ormiston W, McManus R, Scherneck S, Ponder BA, Ford D, Peto J, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Bignon YJ, Struewing JP, Spurr NK, Bishop DT, Klijn JG, Devilee P, et al: Multifactorial analysis of differences between sporadic breast cancers and cancers involving BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998, 90:1138-1145.
- Apicella C, Andrews L, Hodgson SV, Fisher SA, Lewis CM, Solomon E, Tucker K, Friedlander M, Bankier A, Southey MC, Venter DJ, Hopper JL: Log odds of carrying an Ancestral Mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2 for a Defined personal and family history in an Ashkenazi Jewish woman (LAMBDA). Breast Cancer Res 2003, 5:R206-216.
- Evans DG, Lalloo F, Wallace A, Rahman N: Update on the Manchester Scoring System for BRCA1 and BRCA2 testing. J Med Genet 2005, 42:e39.
- Mavaddat N, Rebbeck TR, Lakhani SR, Easton DF, Antoniou AC: Incorporating tumour pathology information into breast cancer risk prediction algorithms. Breast Cancer Res 2010, 12:R28.
- Evans DG, Lalloo F, Cramer A, Jones EA, Knox F, Amir E, Howell A: Addition
 of pathology and biomarker information significantly improves the
 performance of the Manchester scoring system for BRCA1 and BRCA2
 testing. J Med Genet 2009, 46:811-817.
- James PA, Doherty R, Harris M, Mukesh BN, Milner A, Young MA, Scott C: Optimal selection of individuals for BRCA mutation testing: a comparison of available methods. J Clin Oncol 2006, 24:707-715.
- Haffty BG, Silber A, Matloff E, Chung J, Lannin D: Racial differences in the incidence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a cohort of early onset breast cancer patients: African American compared to white women. J Med Genet 2006, 43:133-137.
- Atchley DP, Albarracin CT, Lopez A, Valero V, Amos CI, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Hortobagyi GN, Arun BK: Clinical and pathologic characteristics of patients with BRCA-positive and BRCA-negative breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008, 26:4282-4288.
- Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Timms KM, Liu S, Chen H, Litton JK, Potter J, Lanchbury JS, Stemke-Hale K, Hennessy BT, Arun BK, Hortobagyi GN, Do KA, Mills GB, Meric-Bernstam F: Incidence and outcome of BRCA mutations in unselected patients with triple receptor-negative breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2011, 17:1082-1089.
- Comen E, Davids M, Kirchhoff T, Hudis C, Offit K, Robson M: Relative contributions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations to "triple-negative" breast cancer in Ashkenazi women. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011, 129:185-190.
- Karp SE, Tonin PN, Begin LR, Martinez JJ, Zhang JC, Pollak MN, Foulkes WD: Influence of BRCA1 mutations on nuclear grade and estrogen receptor status of breast carcinoma in Ashkenazi Jewish women. Cancer 1997, 80:435-441.
- Lidereau R, Eisinger F, Champeme MH, Nogues C, Bieche I, Birnbaum D, Pallud C, Jacquemier J, Sobol H: Major improvement in the efficacy of BRCA1 mutation screening using morphoclinical features of breast cancer. Cancer Res 2000, 60:1206-1210.
- Lim E, Vaillant F, Wu D, Forrest NC, Pal B, Hart AH, Asselin-Labat ML, Gyorki DE, Ward T, Partanen A, Feleppa F, Huschtscha LI, Thorne HJ, kConFab, Fox SB, Yan M, French JD, Brown MA, Smyth GK, Visvader JE, Lindeman GJ: Aberrant luminal progenitors as the candidate target population for basal tumor development in BRCA1 mutation carriers. Nat Med 2009, 15:907-913.

- Chang S, Wang RH, Akagi K, Kim KA, Martin BK, Cavallone L, kConFab, Haines DC, Basik M, Mai P, Poggi E, Isaacs C, Looi LM, Mun KS, Greene MH, Byers SW, Teo SH, Deng CX, Sharan SK: Tumor suppressor BRCA1 epigenetically controls oncogenic microRNA-155. Nat Med 2011, 17:1275-1282.
- Yoon SY, Thong MK, Taib NA, Yip CH, Teo SH: Genetic counseling for patients and families with hereditary breast and ovarian cancer in a developing Asian country: an observational descriptive study. Family Cancer 2011, 10:199-205.

doi:10.1186/bcr3347

Cite this article as: Phuah et al.: Triple-negative breast cancer and PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue)loss are predictors of BRCA1 germline mutations in women with early-onset and familial breast cancer, but not in women with isolated late-onset breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research 2012 14:R142.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

